# STOCHASTIC PRODUCTION FUNCTION AND ESTIMATING RISK IN RICE PRODUCTION

MARIA HIMFA PRANCISCO MENDOZA

00105mm 1888

# STOCHASTIC PRODUCTION FUNCTION AND ESTIMATING RISK IN RICE PRODUCTION

# MARIA NIMFA FRANCISCO MENDOZA



Stochastic production function and estimating risk in rice production 633.18 M52 1980

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES AT LOS BAÑOS IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE (STATISTICS)

October, 1980

#### ABSTRACT

MENDOZA, MARIA NIMFA FRANCISCO, University of the Philippines at Los Baños, October 1980. Stochastic Production

Function and Estimating Risk in Rice Production. Major Professor: Dr. Ann Inez N. Gironella.

Risk is primarily defined as variability of outcome in conjunction with expected outcome. In a broader sense, risk estimation covers, in the case of rice production, the estimation of the probability distribution of rice yield. The major intent of this study is to investigate the sensitivity of risk measurement to functional form of and estimation technique for the production function. Specifically, the effect of nitrogen input on the probability distribution of rice yield outcome at nine agronomic zonal levels stratified according to season and water stress conditions is measured.

Stochastic production functions incorporating manageable inputs and environmental factors are estimated for 1972-1977 rice data from farmers' fields in Central Luzon, Philippines. Five basic models with different sets of linear and interaction stress variables are used. The multiple regression model with normally distributed zero mean constant variance errors is assumed to approximate the production process. Initial coefficient estimates are obtained by ordinary least squares. For

a sweep among empirical models indexed by transformation of the response variable, Box-Cox regression is performed. With the obtained response functions as input information, rice yield distributions at fixed levels of nitrogen and given solar radiation and water stress conditions are simulated. As descriptors of risk effects of nitrogen, the means, variances and skewness of the simulated yield distributions are analyzed.

It is observed that the risk effect of nitrogen is highly conditioned by solar radiation and water stress. Results indicate that the method of risk measurement used is not sensitive to the production function estimation technique. Therefore, the superiority of the simple and computationally economical least squares estimation for the standard multiple regression model is asserted. Differences in inferences on risk effects between models indicate that model formulation poses a greater problem.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER				PAGE
1.	INTRO	DUCTION		1
	1.1.	Definit	ion of Risk	1
	1.2.	Attitud Decision	es Toward Risk and Production ns	2
	1.3.	Product	ion Modeling in Risk Analysis	4
	1.4.	Study O	bjectives	13
	1.5.	Data De	scription	14
2.	PRODU	CTION FU	NCTION ESTIMATION	20
	2.1.	Ordinar	y Least Squares	20
		2.1.1.	Model specification	20
		2.1.2.	Canonical form of the model	22
		2.1.3.	Limitations of applications of least squares	24
	2.2.	Ridge R	egression	27
		2.2.1.	Definition of estimator	27
		2.2.2.	Ridge trace	33
		2.2.3.	Estimation of biasing paramter k	36
		2.2.4.	Modifications and related estimation procedures	42
	2.3.	Вох-Сох	Regression	43
		2.3.1.	Transformation definition and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ estimation	43
		2.3.2.	Properties of the Box-Cox model	46

CHAPTER				PAGE
3.	ESTIMATION OF YIELD DISTRIBUTIONS			50
	3.1.	Simul	ation Method	50
	3.2.	Imple	mentation of Simulation Scheme	52
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION			56
	4.1.	Regre	ssion Analysis	56
		4.1.1	. Normality check	56
		4.1.2	Ordinary least squares estimates	58
		4.1.3	. Ridge estimates	63
		4.1.4	Box-Cox estimates	84
	4.2.	Yield	Distribution Simulation	87
		4.2.1	. Expected yield	107
		4.2.2	. Variance of yield	109
		4.2.3	. Skewness of yield distributions	111
5.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION			113
	LITERATURE CITED			120
	APPENDIX A Normality of Yield Distribution			124
	APPEN	DIX B	Sample Frequency Distributions of Solar Radiation and Stress Days	134
	APPEN	DIX C	Sample Graphs of Frequency	1 30

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1.1.	Description of variables.	16
1.2.	Means, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values of variables.	18
1.3.	Correlation matrix of variables.	19
2.1.	Mechanical rules for selection of k.	40
3.1.	Means, standard deviations, minimum and maximum values of stress days for different seasons and qualities of soil seepage and percolation and irrigation.	55
4.1.	Variable list of five basic models.	59
4.2.	Production functions estimated using ordinary least squares.	60
4.3.	Variables with extremely high simple correlations	. 64
4.4.	Eigenvalue spectra and maximum variance inflation factors of the basic models.	65
4.5.	Standardized coefficients of Model 1 estimated using ridge regression at k=0 and k=0.2.	78
4.6.	Standardized coefficients of Model 2 estimated using ridge regression at k=0 and k=0.2.	79
4.7.	Standardized coefficients of Model 3 estimated using ridge regression at k=0 and k=0.2.	80
4.8.	Standardized coefficients of Model 4 estimated using ridge regression at k=0 and k=0.2	81
4.9.	Standardized coefficients of Model 5 estimated using ridge regression at k=0 and k=0.2.	82
4.10.	Production functions (with unstandardized coefficients) estimated using ridge regression at k=0.2.	83

TABLE		PAGE
4.11.	Values of k selected by mechanical rules.	85
4.12.	Production functions estimated using Box-Cox regressions.	86

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
4.1.	Frequency distribution of rice yield.	57
4.2.	Squared length of coefficient vector.	67
4.3.	Ridge trace for Model 1.	69
4.4.	Ridge trace for Model 2.	<b>7</b> 0
4.5.	Ridge trace for Model 3.	71
4.6.	Ridge trace for Model 4.	72
4.7.	Ridge trace for Model 5.	73
4.8.	Mean yields of simulated dry season yield distributions.	89
4.9.	Mean yields of simulated wet season irrigated- farm yield distributions.	91
4.10.	Mean yields of simulated wet season rainfed- farm yield distributions.	93
4.11.	Standard deviations of simulated dry season yield distributions.	95
4.12.	Standard deviations of simulated wet season irrigated-farm yield distributions.	97
4.13.	Standard deviations of simulated wet season rainfed-farm yield distributions.	99
4.14.	Skewness coefficients of simulated dry season yield distributions.	101
4.15.	Skewness coefficients of simulated wet season irrigated-farm yield distributions.	103
4.16.	Skewness coefficients of simulated wet season rainfed-farm yield distributions.	105

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

## 1.1. Definition of Risk

Risk has been associated with the degree of uncertainty of the outcome of an action against a background of perceived alternative outcomes. There is no strict definition nor measure of risk. Some writers distinguish risk from uncertainty; risk is a situation in which probabilities of alternative outcomes of an action are known or can be estimated, whereas uncertainty describes a situation in which probabilities cannot be assigned to the possible outcomes for a given course of action. In modern decision theory, uncertainty is usually subsumed under risk.

Some studies define risk as a measure of dispersion of possible outcomes, for example, as variance. Risk has also been defined as the calculable probability of failure or loss. Along the same line, risk has been identified with the probability of values greater than, or smaller than, a given value. To maximize its usefulness as a concept, Roumasset (1979) has succintly defined risk as "a piece of information about a frequency distribution that, together with expected value, serves as an imperfect substitute for the density function in prescribing or explaining choice under uncertainty".