EFFECTS OF SUGARCANE FIRER IN THE MONILOAD BEARING CAPACITY OF COMCRETE HOLLOW BLOCKS

Design Project

ZAIDE A. GONZALES

College of Engineering and Information Technology CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Indang, Cavita

Cavite State University (Main Library)

DP572

DP 666.8 G59 2017

May 2017

EFFECTS OF SUGARCANE FIBER IN THE NON-LOAD BEARING CAPACITY OF CONCRETE HOLLOW BLOCKS

Undergraduate Design Project
Submitted to the Faculty of the
College of Engineering and Information Technology
Cavity State University
Indang, Cavite

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering



Effects of sugarcane fiber in the non-load bearing capacity of concrete hollow blocks 666.8 G59 2017 DP.577

ZAIDE A. GONZALESMay 2017

ABSTRACT

GONZALES, ZAIDE A. Effects of Sugarcane Fiber in the Non-Load Bearing Capacity of Concrete Hollow Blocks. Undergraduate Thesis. Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering. Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite. May 2017. Adviser: Engr. Renato B. Cubilla.

The new generation continually demands for the development of the industry. Thus, building new infrastructures increases. With this development, the need of construction materials grows. The concept of construction advancement is beneficial to many, but with significant effects to the environment where the material came from. Sand is one of the raw materials in many construction projects. The demand for sand gradually increases. Sand mining, one of the way to generate sand, can cause deterioration to the river banks or any nearby structures. One of the development in the industry is the use of different substances in replacement for the common used materials. Agricultural waste such as bagasse is being disposed in the environment. This study focused on the use of sugarcane fiber as a partial replacement for the sand in the concrete hollow blocks. Concrete hollow block (CHB) is one of the most widely used construction material. The research aimed to determine the different properties of the fiber used in the study, as well as to evaluate its effects in the compressive strength and mass density of the CHBs. Fine aggregate has a fineness modulus of 3.00-4.00. The obtained fineness modulus for the sugarcane fiber is 3.99, thus it can be considered as fine aggregate. It has a compact mass density of 99.98 kg/m³ and a loose mass density of 51.98 kg/m³. It has a water absorption of 1.30. Four treatments were prepared for the study, with nine specimens each. The prepared percentage replacements were 0%, 20%, 30%, and 40% by cement volume. The proportion used for cement to sand is 1:7 with the Philippine standard size of 40cm: 20cm: 10cm. Three samples from each treatment were prepared to subject to the 7th, 14th, and 28th day compression test. The average compressive strength of the control passed the Philippine National Standard (PNS) of 2.41 Mpa (350psi) for an average of three CHBs. The results showed that the optimum compressive strength of CHB with sugarcane fiber when with 20% mixture (0.94Mpa on the 28th day). However, this treatment did not pass the PNS. It was concluded that as the amount of bagasse increases, the compressive strength of the CHB decreases. The average mass of the concrete hollow blocks showed that as the amount of the bagasse increases and as the curing day takes longer, the mass of the CHB decreases. The least mass of the CHB can be obtained when its aggregate is replaced by 40% (951.80kg/m³ on the 28th day). The results of the research showed that only the control passed the PNS, thus CHBs without sugarcane fiber can be used as non-load bearing concrete hollow blocks for structures. As for those CHBs that did not pass the PNS, it is not advisable to be used as a walling material or on any structure requiring the minimum 2.41Mpa of the PNS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
APPROVAL SHEET	ii
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	. vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	. xvii
INTRODUCTION	. 1
Statement of the Problem	. 3
Objectives of the Study	. 3
Significance of the Study	. 4
Scope and Limitation of the Study	. 4
Time and Place of the Study	. 5
Definition of Terms	. 5

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE		
METHODOLOGY	19	
Materials and Apparatus	19	
Methods	20	
Data Gathering	20	
Conceptual Framework	21	
Collection and Preparation of Sugarcane Fiber	22	
Determining the Fineness Modulus of Cement, Sand		
and Sugarcane Fiber	22	
Determining the Mass Density of Aggregates	23	
Determining the Water Absorption of Sugarcane Fiber	24	
Mixture Proportion of CHB	25	
Proportioning and Mixing of Concrete	25	
Preparation of Specimen	26	
Compression Test	27	
Statistical Design	27	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
Data Gathering	28	
Fineness Modulus of Sugarcane Fiber, Sand and Cement	28	

Mass Density of Aggregates	29
Water Absorption of Sugarcane Fiber	30
Preparation of Sugarcane Fiber	30
Preparation of the Mixture	31
Production Cost	32
Mass Density of the CHBs	32
Compressive Strength of the CHBs	36
Seventh Day Compressive Strength	37
Fourteenth Day Compressive Strength	39
Twenty Eighth Day Compressive Strength	42
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	45
Summary	45
Conclusions	47
Recommendations	48
REFERENCES	50
ADDENDICES	51

LIST OF TABLES

Fable		Page
1	Chemical composition of Portland cement	9
2	Weight classification for CMU	11
3	Physical properties of bagasse fiber	15
4	Mechanical properties of bagasse	16
5	Minimum compressive strength of CHB (ASTM)	18
6	Compressive strength of non-load bearing CHB (Philippine National Standard)	18
7	Design mix of sand and sugarcane fiber	25
8	Percentage volume of bagasse per 1 CHB	26
9	Mass required per 1 piece CHB	26
10	Fineness modulus of aggregates	29
11	Mass density of aggregates	30
12	Water absorption of sugarcane fiber	30
13	Mass of the materials per 3CHB	31
14	Production cost per CHB	32
15	Mass of CHB on the 7 th , 14 th , and 28 th day	33

16	Strength of non-load bearing CHB after 7 days	37
17	Strength of non-load bearing CHB after 14 days	39
18	Strength of non-load bearing CHB after 28 days	42

LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	e	Page
1	Conceptual framework	21
2	Average mass of non-load bearing CHB after 7, 14, and 28 days	34
3	Average compressive strength of non-load bearing CHB after 7 days	38
4	Average compressive strength of non-load bearing CHB after 14 days	40
5	Average compressive strength of non-load bearing CHB after 28 days	43
6	Average compressive strength of non-load bearing	
	CHB after 7, 14, and 28 days	44

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table		Page
1	Fineness modulus of cement (trial 1)	53
2	Fineness modulus of cement (trial 2)	53
3	Fineness modulus of cement (trial 3)	54
4	Fineness modulus of sand (trial 1)	54
5	Fineness modulus of sand (trial 2)	55
6	Fineness modulus of sand (trial 3)	55
7	Fineness modulus of sugarcane fiber (trial 1)	56
8	Fineness modulus of sugarcane fiber (trial 2)	56
9	Fineness modulus of sugarcane fiber (trial 3)	57
10	Mass density of sand	57
11	Mass density of sugarcane fiber	58
12	Water absorption of sugarcane fiber	58
13	Average mass density of concrete hollow blocks on the 7 th day	59
14	Average mass density of concrete hollow blocks on the 14 th day	60
15	Average mass density of concrete hollow blocks on the 28th day	61
16	Average compressive strength of CHB on the 7 th day	62
17	Average compressive strength of CHB on the 14 th day	63

18	on the 28 th day	64
19	Analysis of variance of compressive strength after 7 days of curing	65
20	Comparison among means of compressive strength after 7 days of curing (DMRT)	65
21	Analysis of variance of compressive strength after 14 days of curing	66
22	Comparison among means of compressive strength after 14 days of curing (DMRT)	66
23	Analysis of variance of compressive strength after 28 days of curing	67
24	Comparison among means of compressive strength after 28 days of curing (DMRT)	67
25	Analysis of variance of mass density after 7 days of curing	68
26	Comparison among means of the mass density of CHB after 7 days of curing (DMRT)	68
27	Analysis of variance of mass density after 14 days of curing	69
28	Comparison among means of the mass density of CHB after 14 days of curing (DMRT)	69
29	Analysis of variance of mass density after 28 days of curing	70
30	Comparison among means of the mass density of CHB after 28 days of curing (DMRT)	70
31	Production cost analysis for treatment 1	71
32	Production cost analysis for treatment 2	71
33	Production cost analysis for treatment 3	72
34	Production cost analysis for treatment 4	72

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Appendix Figures		Page
1	Sun drying of sugarcane fiber	74
2	Preparation of the sugarcane fiber proportion	74
3	Preparation of the mixture	75
4	Weighing of sugarcane fiber for the mass density	75
5	Set of sieves and sieve shaker	76
6	Pouring of the mixture in the concrete mixer	77
7	Mixture of the raw materials	78
. 8	Concrete hollow blocks mold	79
9	Molding of concrete hollow blocks	79
10	Drying of concrete hollow blocks	80
11	Curing of concrete hollow blocks	80
12	Testing of the concrete hollow blocks	81
13	Compressive strength result	82
14	Universal testing machine	83

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
1	Appendix Tables	52
2	Appendix Figures	73
3	Computations	84
4	Test Results	118

EFFECTS OF SUGARCANE FIBER IN THE NON-LOAD BEARING CAPACITY OF CONCRETE HOLLOW BLOCKS

ZAIDE A. GONZALES

An undergraduate thesis manuscript submitted to the faculty of the Department of Civil Engineering and Information Technology, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering with Contribution No. CEIT-2016-17-2-001. Prepared under the supervision of Engr. Renato B. Cubilla.

INTRODUCTION

Concrete hollow block (CHB) is made from a mixture of cement, aggregates and water. It is also referred to as concrete masonry unit (CMU).

Compared to other construction materials, CHBs are relatively low cost and easier to install by semi-skilled labourers. This is the reason why CHB is one of the general used walling materials in the Philippines. CHB walls are vulnerable against lateral loads (pushing or pulling forces from typhoon or earthquake). To increase their resistance against these lateral loads, steel reinforcing bars are added horizontally and vertically. Substandard bars immensely reproduce in construction stores and hardware due to high costs of steel bars. This leads to the rural and other suburban construction resulting to a low quality type of structure.

It is known that concrete hollow blocks are weak against lateral loads. But the materials that are usually used to overcome those deficiencies are more