635.65 V 66

GROWTH AND YIELD RESPONSE OF MUNGO TO METHODS OF PLANTING AND FERTILIZATION

SPECIAL PROBLEM

Wilagios M. Viado

Don Senerino Agricultural College Indang, Cavin Agril, 1980

GROWTH AND YIELD RESPONSE OF MUNGO TO METHODS OF PLANTING AND FERTILIZATION

A Special Problem

Presented to the Faculty of the

Don Severino Agricultural College

Indang, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Bachelor of Science

in Agriculture (BSA) Major in

Agronomy

by

MILAGROS M. VIADO April, 1980

ABSTRACT

Methods of Planting and Fertilization", using CES 87 variety was conducted at the Experimental Field of the Don Severino Agricultural College, Indang, Cavite from July to October, 1979. Its main objective was to determine the effects of the combination of methods of planting and fertilization on the growth and yield of mungo. The specific objectives were: to find out the effect of different rates of complete fertilizer on the growth and yield of mungo (Treatments were: $T_1 - 158$ grams per plot, $T_2 - 315$ grams per plot, $T_3 - 467$ grams per plot) and to find out which of the two methods of planting would give a higher yield.

The following growth and yield parameters were observed and taken throughout the conduct of the study: total grain yield, weight per 1,000 seeds, pods per branch, pods per plant, number of leaves, and number of branches and dry matter yield.

Of the parameters above, non-significant differences were observed among treatments. However, Treatment 3 of the drill method produced the highest yield and highest weight per 1,000 seeds. It was followed by Treatment 2 (315 grams per plot) and least, Treatment 1 (158 grams per plot).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	age
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	V
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
Importance of the Study	1
Objectives of the Study	2
Time and Place of the Study	2
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	3
MATERIALS AND METHODS	6
Materials	6
Methods	6
Land preparation	6
Experimental field layout	6
Planting	6
Weeding	6
Fertilization	6
Harvesting	7
Gathering of data	7
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	8
Number of Leaves	a

							Page
Number of Branches	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
Pods per Branch	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
Pods per Plant	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
Weight per One Thousand Seeds	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
Dry Matter Yield in Grams (Sample of	•						
Ten Plants	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
Total Grain Yield (Grams)	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	Ī	•	•	•	•	•	13
Summary	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
Conclusion	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
Recommendation	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
BIBLIOGRAPHY	•		•	•	•	•	15
APPENDIX							17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Number of Leaves Taken at Bi-Weekly Interval (Average of Ten Plants)	9
2.	Number of Branches Taken at Bi-Weekly Interval (Average of Ten Plants)	10
3.	Field Layout	18

GROWTH AND YIELD RESPONSE OF MUNGO TO METHODS OF PLANTING AND FERTILIZATION 1/2

by

Milagros M. Viado

1/A Special Problem presented to the faculty of the Don Severino Agricultural College, Indang, Cavite, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for graduation with the degree of Bachelor of Science in Agriculture (BSA), Major in Agronomy. Contribution No. P.S. 80043-035. Prepared under the direction and supervision of Mr. Alexander F. Ferre.

INTRODUCTION

Mungo (<u>Phaseolus aureus</u>, Roxb.), is an annual herbacious plant attaining a height of about fifty centimeters. It is a legume and native of India. It contains as much calories per unit as weight of cereals. It contains protein ranging from 20 to 25 percent as well as vitamins and minerals. Mungo, being rich in protein and thiamine is nutritious and has been recommended as preventive against beri-beri.

It is always used for intercropping and relay cropping.

Importance of the Study

In Agriculture, the methods of planting and the application of fertilizer are big factors in crop production.