

**LEVEL OF AWARENESS ON REPUBLIC ACT NO. 10586 ALSO KNOWN AS
ANTI-DRUNK AND DRUGGED DRIVING ACT OF 2013
IN TAGAYTAY CITY**

**Undergraduate Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of the
College of Criminal Justice
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite**

**In partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Science in Criminology**



*Level of awareness on Republic Act No.
10586 also known as anti-drunk and drugged
345 All 2018
T-7895*

**RUSSELL R. ALCAZAR
ZAIDA L. MALANO
CIARRA MARIEL R. PERIDO
June 2018**

ABSTRACT

ALCAZAR, RUSSELL R, MALANO, ZAIDA L. AND PERIDO, CIARRA MARIEL R. Level of awareness on Republic Act No. 10586 also known as Anti-Drunk and Drugged Driving Act of 2013 in Tagaytay City. Undergraduate Thesis, Bachelor of Science in Criminology. Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite. April 2018. Dr. Marissa C. Lontoc.

The study was conducted to evaluate the level of awareness of the TOPS (Tagaytay Office of Public Safety), Public Utility Drivers and Private drivers on Republic Act No. 10586 otherwise known as Anti-Drunk and Drugged Driving Act of 2013 in Tagaytay City. It aimed to evaluate the level of awareness of the TOPS, public utility drivers and private drivers in terms of implementing rules, implementing strategies and penalty for violation of the law and to determine the significant difference among the level of awareness on Republic Act No. 10586 also known as Anti-drunk and Drugged Driving Act of 2013 of the TOPS, public utility drivers and private drivers. The descriptive quantitative research design was used in this study in order to assess the level of awareness on the implementing rules, strategies used and the penalty for the violation of this law.

Based on the findings, it was found that among the three respondents TOPS has the highest level of awareness than the two categories of drivers. These driver are knowledgeable in the implementing rules, implementing strategies and penalty for the violation of the law. There is a significant difference on the level of awareness of the public utility driver, TOPS and private driver on implementing rules and implementing strategies of this law wherein, in terms of penalty there is no significant difference on the

level of awareness of public utility driver and private driver while TOPS has a significant difference on their level of awareness on penalty for the violation of law.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
APPROVAL SHEET.....	ii
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
ABSTRACT	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
INTRODUCTION.....	1
Statement of the Problem.....	5
Hypothesis.....	6
Objectives of the Study.....	6
Significance of the Study.....	7
Time and Place of the Study.....	8
Scope and Limitation.....	8
Definition of Terms.....	8
Conceptual Framework.....	9

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE.....	10
METHODOLOGY.....	20
Research Design.....	20
Sources of data.....	20
Participants of the study.....	21
Sampling Technique.....	21
Research Instrument.....	21
Data Gathering Procedure.....	22
Statistical Treatment of Data.....	23
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	24
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	47
Summary	47
Conclusion	49
Recommendations	50
REFERENCES	52
APPENDICES	54