

~~✓~~ RESPONSE OF IR-64 TO DIFFERENT  
FOLIAR FERTILIZER

A Thesis

Submitted to the faculty of the  
Don Severino Agricultural College  
Indang, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Science in Agriculture  
Major in Agronomy



Response of IR-64 to different foliar  
fertilizer  
633.18 M37 1989  
T.1009

Marlene D. Masicap

April 1989

## A B S T R A C T

Masicap, Marlene D. Don Severino Agricultural College, Indang, Cavite, April 1989. "Response of IR-64 to Different Foliar Fertilizer." Mr. Celso Nuestro (Thesis Adviser).

The study was conducted in a 372 square meter field in Palangue II, Naic, Cavite from July to October 1988. The study aims to investigate the growth and yield of IR-64 with the application of foliar fertilizer and to identify which foliar spray fertilizer increase grain yield.

A Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) was used in the study. It was composed of four treatments and four replications. The treatments used were as follows: Treatment I (untreated), Treatment 2 (albatros, 1 tablespoonful per liter of water), Treatment 3 (Miracle booster, 2-3 tablespoonful per gallon of water) and Treatment 4 (ethrel, 2-3 tablespoonful per 16 liters of water), respectively.

The findings showed that application of miracle booster (Treatment 3) differ significantly from other treatments in terms of number of filled grains per panicle, grain yield, hundred-grain weight and computed yield per hectare. However, Treatment 4 (ethrel) produced the highest number of panicles per hill. The high panicle is a desirable parameter since this could compensate for missing hills, although excessive number of panicles may cause lodging and poor filling of grains because of intensive mutual shading.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA . . . . .	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT . . . . .	iv
ABSTRACT . . . . .	vi
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	ix
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	x
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	11
Importance of the Study . . . . .	2
Objectives of the Study . . . . .	3
Time and Place of the Study . . . . .	3
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE . . . . .	4
MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . .	6
Materials . . . . .	6
Methods . . . . .	6
Land preparation . . . . .	6
Soil sampling . . . . .	6
Experimental design . . . . .	7
Raising of seedlings . . . . .	7
Transplanting and replanting of seedlings . . . . .	7
Irrigation . . . . .	7
Fertilization . . . . .	7
Weed control . . . . .	8
Control of pests and diseases . . . . .	8

	Page
Harvesting, gathering of samples and collecting data . . . . .	8
<b>DISCUSSION OF RESULTS . . . . .</b>	<b>10</b>
Number of Days from Sowing to Maturity . . . . .	10
Height of Plants in Centimeters . . . . .	10
Number of Tillers per Plant . . . . .	12
Grain Yield . . . . .	13
Number of Panicles per Hill . . . . .	16
Length of Middle Panicle . . . . .	18
Number of Filled Grains per Panicle . . . .	20
Percentage of Unfilled Grains . . . . .	22
Grain Weight . . . . .	24
Computed Yield per Hectare . . . . .	26
<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION . . . . .</b>	<b>28</b>
Summary . . . . .	28
Conclusion . . . . .	28
Recommendation . . . . .	29
<b>LITERATURE CITED . . . . .</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>APPENDICES . . . . .</b>	<b>31</b>
Figures . . . . .	32