631.58 B63 1999

PACE OF THE PROGRAMS OF THE INSTITUTE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF EDUCATIONAL AND ECOLOGICAL ACCREMANTIVES, INC. (IDEAS) ON FARM PRODUCTIVITY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITION OF CAVITE FARMERS

EDWARD A. BOATENG

# IMPACT OF THE PROGRAMS OF THE INSTITUTE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF EDUCATIONAL AND ECOLOGICAL ALTERNATIVES, INC. (IDEAS) ON FARM PRODUCTIVITY AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITION OF CAVITE FARMERS

## EDWARD A. BOATENG

#### SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF



Impact of the programs of the Institute for the development of educational and 631.58 B63e 1999 T-1987

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Farming Systems)

#### ABSTRACT

AMO-BOATENG, EDWARD, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite, September, 1999. <u>Impact of Programs of the Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternative, Incorporated (IDEAS) on Farm Productivity and Socio-Economic Condition of Cavite Farmers.</u>

Major Adviser: Dr. Simeon S. Crucido.

The main objective of the study was to assess the impact of IDEAS intervention program for upland and lowland farmers in Cavite. Specifically, the study aimed to describe the inputs and the processes involved in the IDEAS intervention program; to find out the difference in socio-economic condition, cropping system; farming system technologies and marketing practices adopted by IDEAS intervention program; and ascertain the difference in income of farmer-respondents before and after the IDEAS intervention program.

Forty-three upland farmers and eight lowland farmers form Cavite were interviewed in this study. A t-test was used to determine the difference in socio-economic condition, cropping systems, adoption of different farm and marketing practices and income from crop and animal production before and after the IDEAS implementation.

Data revealed that the respondents were provided with financial, material, human resources and information through trainings and technology assistance by the IDEAS.

The increase in socio-economic conditions of the farmers was observed, however the difference was only significant in ownership of farmlot and ownership of homelot.

Some of the marketing practices in upland Cavite showed a significant difference before and after the IDEAS intervention program. These marketing practices are direct selling, personal selling, whole selling and retailing.

Results of the study showed an increasing trend on income of the farmer-respondents in crop production three years after the implementation of the IDEAS intervention program. This increase in income was from P 38,727.45 after a year to P 41,686.06 after three years of the program.

In animal production, income increased from P 42,652.00 in the first year to P 85,929.12 in the third year of program implementation of IDEAS.

The total income on crop and animal production of the farmer-respondents three years after the intervention program of IDEAS was P 90140.08, with a standard deviation of P 23132.32. However, the computed t-test was 2.68 with a probability value of 0.01\*\* which showed highly significant at 1% level.

The difference in average animal income in crop production, animal production and total income of upland and lowland farmers were also highly significant at 1% level of significance.

Lastly, problems encountered by farmer-respondents were mostly on lack of capital, infrastructure and lack of water.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>AGE</b>
iii
iv
ix
хi
xiii
1
3
4
5
5
6
7
9
0
3
4
•
5
9
9
<i>,</i>
5
5
,

	PAGE
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	27
The Inputs and Processes Involved in IDEAS Intervention Program	. 27
Financial resources	27
Natural resources	27
Human resources and number of programs	. 29
Farmers' mode of payment	29
Trainings conducted	31
Technology assistance	31
Marketing assistance	34
Monitoring and evaluation procedures	34
Difference in Socio-economic Condition of Upland and Lowland Farmers Before and After the IDEAS Intervention Program	36
Ownership of homelot	36
Ownership of farmlot	38
Farm buildings and other structures	38
Farm size	40
Type of house	41
Harvesting tools and equipment	41
Farm tools and implements	43
Ownership of appliances/furniture and vehicle	45

	PAGE
Difference in Cropping Systems, Farming	
System Technologies and Marketing Practices of Upland and Lowland farmers Before and	
After the IDEAS Intervention Program	48
	10
Cropping systems adopted	48
Adoption of farming system technologies	49
Fertilizer application	49
Labor utilization	51
Packing of farm products	52
Marketing practices	53
Difference in Income of Farmers After	
the IDEAS Intervention Program	54
Income on crop production	54
Income on animal production	56
Total income of upland and lowland farmers	58
Problems Encountered By the Farmer-Respondents	
in Lowland and Upland Cavite	60
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND	
RECOMMENDATION	61
Summary	61
Conclusion	
Recomendation	64
LITERATURE CITED	
APPENDIX A	ΚS

#### LIST OF TABLES

ΓAB	ABLE	
]	Financial and material resources provided by IDEAS to upland and lowland farmers in Cavite.	28
2	Number of programs extended to upland and lowland farmers.	30
3	Farmers' mode of payment to IDEAS.	30
4	Trainings conducted by IDEAS in lowland and upland farmers in Cavite.	32
5	Frequency of attendance in training programs by farmer- respondents in lowland and upland Cavite.	32
6	Technology assistance IDEAS extended to farmer-respondents in Cavite.	33
7	Marketing assistance provided by IDEAS to farmers in lowland and upland Cavite.	35
8	Ownership status of homelot of the farm household in upland and lowland Cavite before and after the IDEAS intervention program.	37
9	Ownership status of farmlot of the farm household in upland and and lowland farms of Cavite before and after the IDEAS intervention program.	39
10	Farm buildings and other structures possessed by farmer- respondents in upland and lowland Cavite before and after the IDEAS intervention program.	39
11	Farm sizes of farmer-respondents in upland and lowland Cavite.	40
12	Type of the house of the farm household in the upland and lowland Cavite before and after the IDEAS intervention program.	42

13	Harvesting instruments used by farmer-respondents in lowland and upland Cavite	42
14	Tools and implements owned by farmer-respondents in lowland and upland Cavite before and after the IDEAS intervention program.	44
15	Appliances/furniture and vehicle owned by farm-respondents in lowland and upland Cavite before and after the IDEAS interventio program.	n 46
16	Cropping systems adopted by the farmers in the upland and lowland Cavite before and after the IDEAS intervention program.	48
17	Farming system technologies adopted by farmer-respondents in lowland and upland Cavite.	50
18	Method of applying fertilizer by the farmer-respondents in upland and lowland Cavite.	50
19	Kinds of labor used by farmer-respondents in lowland and upland Cavite.	51
20	Materials used for packing farm products by upland and lowland farmer- respondents.	52
21	Marketing practices adopted by farmer-respondents in lowland and upland Cavite.	53
22	Average income on crop production of farmers after the IDEAS intervention program.	55
23	Average income on animal production of farmers after the IDEAS intervention program.	5 57
24	Total income of upland and lowland farmers after the IDEAS intervention program.	59
25	Problems encountered by the farmer-respondents in lowland and upland Cavite.	60

### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Structural-functional framework of a farm system Source	7
2	Conceptual paradigm showing the hypothetical relationships of selected independent and dependent variables.	9
3	Map of municipality of Alfonso showing the locale of the study	20
4	Map of municipality of Dasmarinas showing the locale of the study	21
5	Map of General Trias showing the locale of the study	22
6	Map of municipality of Silang showing the locale of the study	23
7	Map of municipality of Naic showing the locale of the study	24

#### INTRODUCTION

Non Governmental Organizations (NGOs) are voluntary non-profit and service-oriented groups. Many NGOs assist farmers in the third world countries to improve farm productivity. Historically, some of the earliest NGOs were associated with independent movements that have been initiated by missionaries or indigenous churches. A number of them have arisen along with the labor unions and peasant organizations in various places of the world.

Some NGOs tend to focus on one or several specialized lines like agriculture, health, education, research, or emergency relief. For instance, the core program of the Philippine Rural Reconstruction Movement (PRRM) is the promotion of farm productivity for the rural farmers in the Philippines (Serrano, 1995).

The Institute for the Development of Educational and Ecological Alternatives, Incorporated (IDEAS), is one of the NGOs that support income generating projects of selected farmers to improve farm productivity in Cavite. The support has been specifically extended to the farmers in the municipalities of Alfonso, Naic, Silang, Dasmarinas and General Trias. IDEAS envisions that every farmer in a society gets the right to adequate land, safe food, education, clothing, shelter, and all other basic necessities for survival and well-being. IDEAS wishes that every farmer in Cavite gets control on productivity resources like, capital resources, human resources, physical resources, natural resources and technology assistance as well. (IDEAS, 1986).

To achieve this mission, IDEAS provided credit/loan assistance (in cash and organic fertilizers) to farmers in Cavite. IDEAS intend to improve the socio-economic and cultural conditions of the farmers through community self-help, community organization, cooperative development, sustainable agriculture as well as promotion and development programs.