

BLA ROSETTE M. RAMIRET

College of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Natural Resources
CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Indang, Cayite

HISTOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SMALL AND LARGE INTESTINES OF PHILIPPINE MALLARD DUCK (Anas platyrhynchos L.) FED VARYING LEVELS OF FRESH PINTO PEANUT (Arachis pintoi Krap. & Greg.)

Undergraduate Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of the
College of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Natural Resources
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Bachelor of Science in Agriculture Major in Animal Science



Histological assessment of small and large intestines of Philippine Mallard duck 338.13[R14 2019 T.B6R3

BEA ROSETTE N. RAMIREZJune 2018

ABSTRACT

RAMIREZ, BEA ROSETTE N. Histological Assessment of Small and Large Intestine of Philippine Mallard Duck (Anas platyrhynchos Linn.) Fed Varying Levels of Fresh Pinto Peanut (Arachis pintoi Krap.& Greg.). Undergraduate Thesis. Bachelor of Science in Agriculture major in Animal Science, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite. June 2018. Adviser Mr. Henry I. Rivero, MSc.

This study was conducted at the Broiler Production Project Building of the Department of Animal Science of the Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite from January2018 to April 2018 to determine the effects of Pinto peanut on the Pateros duck's gut response with specific concerns on the histological features of Pinto (*Arachis pintoi*) peanut-fed sexually mature ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos* Linn.).

A total of 60 ducks were randomly assigned to four groups, each with three replicates, where five birds comprised each replicate. The commercial feed ration was supplemented per kg with different levels of *A. pintoi*; T0 (control group)- with pure commercial feed ration only; T1 –with 10 g *A. pintoi*; T2- 15 g *A. pintoi*; T3- 20 g *A. pintoi* was fed to ducks for a period of three months. On the sixth-month, destructive sampling of 24 ducks randomly chosen for gut analysis and histological preparation for microscopic examination were conducted.

No significant difference (P>0.05) in the live weight was observed after the three months of feeding with various levels of Pinto peanut. This was also true on the fresh weights of entire midgut to hindgut (i.e., from small intestine to the large intestine) suggesting that, generally, adding Pinto peanut to the feed composition does not in any way influence the growth and development of digestive and absorptive organs of ducks

over a short period of feeding. However, this information can suggest that the ducks in the experimental groups which belong to the same age group equally utilized the feeds.

No significant difference (P>0.05) in live body weight, entire gut, small intestine and large intestine fresh weights, length and the area of small and large intestines was observed among treatments. However, *A. pintoi* supplemented at the rate of 10, 15 and 20 grams per kg of commercial feed exhibited significant increase in terms of villi height, villi width, crypt depth and intestinal muscle wall thickness over the control.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xvi
INTRODUCTION	1
Objectives of the Study	3
Significance of the Study	3
Scope and Limitation of the Study	4
Time and Place of the Study	4
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	5
METHODOLOGY	10
Experimental Design and Treatments	11
Management Practices	11
Animal Health and Sanitation	12
Collection of Samples	12
Sample Preparation for Histology	12
Fixation	
	12

Dehydration	13
Clearing	13
Infiltration	14
Embedding	14
Sectioning	15
Staining	16
Cover slipping	17
Histomorphometry	18
Data Collection	18
Statistical analysis	19
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
Body and Organ Weights	21
The Gastro-intestinal Morphology	24
Length and Area of Segmented Gut	26
Histological Assessment	29
SUMMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	39
Summary	39
Conclusion	40
Recommendations	40
REFERENCES	41
APPENDICES	48

LIST OF TABLES

Table	
1	Treatments of using different levels of fresh leaf meal incorporated to commercial feed
2	Mean values (g±SD) of live body weights (g) of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days
3	Mean values (g±SD) of fresh weights (in g) of the entire midguts and hindguts of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days
4	Mean values (g+SD) of fresh weights of duodenum, jejunum and ileum (in g) of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days
5	Mean values (g+SD) of fresh weight of cecum and large intestine (g) of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days
6	Mean values (cm±SD) of the duodenal, jejunal, and ileal lengths of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days
7	Mean values of area (cm ² +SD) of the duodenum, jejunum and ileum of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days.
8	Mean values of lengths (cm±SD) of cecum and large intestine of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days
9	Mean values of area (cm ² ±SD) of the cecum and large intestine of ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days
10	Mean values (μm±SD) of villi height, villi width, crypt depth, intestinal muscle thickness (in μm) of duodenum in ducks with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days

11	Mean	values (µm±SD) of villi height, villi width, crypt depth, intestinal muscle thickness (in µm) of jejunum in ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days	31
12	Mean	values (μm±SD) of villi height, villi width, crypt depth, intestinal muscle thickness (in μm) of ileum in ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days	33
13	Mean	values (μm±SD) of villi height, villi width, crypt depth, intestinal muscle thickness (in μm) of cecum in ducks fed with different levels of fresh A. pintoi leaf meal for 60 days	35
14	Mean	values (μm±SD) of villi height, villi width, crypt depth, intestinal muscle thickness (in μm) of large intestine in ducks fed with different levels of fresh <i>A. pintoi</i> leaf meal for 60 days	37

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Parts of the midgut and the hindgut of sexually mature Pateros duck A. platyrhynchos	24
2	Histologic sections of duodenal crypts in T0 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T1 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T2 (A, 100x and B, 200x) and T3 (C, 100x and D, 200x)	30
3	Histologic sections of jejunum crypts in T0 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T1 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T2 (A, 100x and B, 200x) and T3 (A, 100x and B, 200x)	32
4	Histologic sections of ileum crypts in T0 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T1 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T2 (A, 100x and B, 200x) and T3 (A, 100x and B, 200x)	34
5	Histologic sections of cecum crypts in T0 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T1 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T2 (A, 100x and B, 200x) and T3 (A, 100x and B, 200x)	36
6	Histologic sections of large intestine crypts in T0 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T1 (A, 100x and B, 200x), T2 (A, 100x and B, 200x) and T3 (A, 100x and B, 200x)	38

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table		Page
1	Analysis of variance for live body weight	50
2	Analysis of variance for gut fresh weight	50
3	Analysis of variance for fresh weight of duodenum	50
4	Analysis of variance for fresh weight of jejunum	50
5	Analysis of variance for fresh weight of ileum	51
6	Analysis of variance for fresh weight of cecum	51
7	Analysis of variance for fresh weight of large intestine	51
8	Analysis of variance for length of duodenum	51
9	Analysis of variance for area of duodenum	52
10	Analysis of variance for length of jejunum	52
11	Analysis of variance for area of jejunum	52
12	Analysis of variance for length of ileum	52
13	Analysis of variance for area of ileum	53
14	Analysis of variance for length of cecum	53
15	Analysis of variance for area of cecum	53
16	Analysis of variance for length of large intestine	53
17	Analysis of variance for area of large intestine	54
18	Analysis of variance for villi height of duodenum	54

19	Analysis of variance for villi height of jejunum	54
20	Analysis of variance for villi height of ileum	54
21	Analysis of variance for villi height of cecum	55
22	Analysis of variance for villi height of large intestine	55
23	Analysis of variance for villi width of duodenum	55
24	Analysis of variance for villi width of jejunum	55
25	Analysis of variance for villi width of ileum	56
26	Analysis of variance for villi width of cecum	56
27	Analysis of variance for villi width of large intestine	56
28	Analysis of variance for crypt depth of duodenum	56
29	Analysis of variance for crypt depth of jejunum	57
30	Analysis of variance for crypt depth of ileum	57
31	Analysis of variance for crypt depth of cecum	57
32	Analysis of variance for crypt depth of large intestine	57
33	Analysis of variance for muscle wall thickness of duodenum	58
34	Analysis of variance for muscle wall thickness of jejunum	58
35	Analysis of variance for muscle wall thickness of ileum	58
36	Analysis of variance for muscle wall thickness of cecum	58
37	Analysis of variance for muscle wall thickness of large intestine	59

LIST OF APENDIX FIGURES

Appendix Figure		Page
1	Photograph of the dissection of the alimentary tract of Anas platyrhynchos Linn	61
2	Fixation of tissues in formalin	61
3	Increasing grade of ethylene alcohol used in dehydration	62
4	Reagents used in clearing (xylene)	62
5	Melting of paraffin used in infiltration and embedding using alcohol lamp	63
6	Embedding of intestines in pure paraffin	63
7	Sectioning of the tissues sample in paraffin	64
8	Collection of the sectioned tissues in floatation bath	64
9	Microtome used in the study (SAKURA Accu-Cut® SRM™)	65
10	Hematoxylin and Eosin stain	65
11	Light Microscope used in the study (Nikon Eclipse 90i, Japan)	66
12	Observation of slides samples in microscope	66

HISTOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF SMALL AND LARGE INTESTINES OF PHILIPPINE MALLARD DUCK (Anas platyrhynchos L.) FED VARYING LEVELS OF FRESH PINTO PEANUT (Arachis pintoi Krap. & Greg.)

BEA ROSETTE N. RAMIREZ

An undergraduate thesis manuscript submitted to the faculty of the Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Natural Resources, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Science in Agriculture major in Animal Science. Contribution No. 35% - 2019-16 Prepared under the supervision of Henry I. Rivero, MSc.

INTRODUCTION

Ducks are among the major and best sources of meat and eggs, next to chicken. In the Philippines, duck farming is a lucrative enterprise because of the "balut" (technically known as boiled 18-day embryonated duck egg), a special street delicacy that is the main product of the Philippine Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos* L.) or Pateros "itik". The Pateros ducks are relatively hardy and show resistance to common avian diseases. Feed is the most expensive item in livestock animal production including ducks, and it is one of the major problems faced by the backyard and medium-scale duck raisers in our country. Hence, researchers are continuously looking for ways to minimize feed cost while improving poultry performance. To maximize the full potential production of ducks, leaf meals are added to the feeds. Such application in poultry diets is the use of Pinto peanut.