

AUTOMATED WRITER LABORATORY ACTIVITY CHECKER FOR
THE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

THESIS

ALYSSA MARIE A. ARBUES

JENNIFER E. MESIAS

College of Engineering and Information Technology

CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Indang, Cavite

Cavite State University (Main Library)



T6511

THESIS/SP 629.8 Ar1 2016

December 2016

C/
**AUTOMATED WRITER LABORATORY ACTIVITY CHECKER FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

Undergraduate Thesis
Submitted to the faculty of the
College of Engineering and Information Technology
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite

In partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree
Bachelor of Science in Computer Science



00010625

*Automated writer laboratory activity
checker for the Department of Information
629 8 Ar1 2016
T-0511*

Alyssa Marie A. Arbues
Jennifer E. Mesias
December 2016

ABSTRACT

ARBUES , ALYSSA MARIE A. and MESIAS , JENNIFER E. Automated Writer Laboratory Activity Checker for the Department of Information Technology. Undergraduate Thesis. Bachelor of Science in Computer Science. Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite, April 2016. Adviser: Ms. Julie Ann C. Lontoc.

The study was conducted from March 2015 to April 2016 at Cavite State University - Main Campus. The purpose of the study was to develop an automated writer laboratory activity checker for the Department of Information Technology that will highlight, list errors and corrections.

The methodology used was iterative development methodology which is composed of the following phases: planning, requirement, design and analysis, implementation, testing, evaluation, and deployment phase. The software was deployed and evaluated by 100 participants composed of instructors and students.

According to the overall evaluation results, the system was judged to be "Excellent". Upon the completion of the study, the researchers concluded that the software was effective in checking the format applied of the student in a writer activity provided by the highlighted generated file and list of errors together with the correction to make the work of instructor easier. Based on the results, the study shows that the software was interpreted as excellent in efficiency, user friendliness and functionality of the software.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| BIOGRAPHICAL DATA..... | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT..... | ii |
| ABSTRACT..... | iv |
| LIST OF FIGURE..... | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | viii |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | ix |
| LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES | x |
| LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES | xi |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| Statement of the Problem..... | 2 |
| Objectives of the Study..... | 3 |
| Significance of the Study | 4 |
| Time and Place of the Study | 4 |
| Theoretical Framework | 5 |
| Scope and Limitations of the Study | 7 |
| Definition of Terms | 8 |
| REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE..... | 9 |
| METHODOLOGY..... | 20 |
| Materials..... | 20 |
| Methods..... | 21 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Statistical Analysis of Data..... | 23 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION..... | 24 |
| SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 42 |
| Summary..... | 42 |
| Conclusion..... | 43 |
| Recommendations..... | 45 |
| REFERENCES..... | 46 |
| APPENDICES..... | 47 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|---|------|
| 1 Theoretical framework of the automated writer laboratory activity checker | 5 |
| 2 Iterative development process | 21 |
| 3 Screenshot of the system interface of the server | 26 |
| 4 Screenshot of system interface of client | 27 |
| 5 Screenshot of upload module for student | 28 |
| 6 Screenshot of connection settings message box | 28 |
| 7 Screenshot of upload a writer activity | 29 |
| 8 Screenshot for setup format | 30 |
| 9 Screenshot of downloading a student activity | 31 |
| 10 Screenshot of the checking module | 31 |
| 11 Screenshot of PDF message box | 32 |
| 12 Screenshot of successful message box | 32 |
| 13 Screenshot of percentage message box | 33 |
| 14 Screenshot of location of PDF file format | 33 |
| 15 Screenshot of location of generated file and PDF file format | 34 |
| 16 Screenshot of generated file | 34 |
| 17 Screenshot of PDF file format | 35 |
| 18 Screenshot of local comparer | 36 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Contribution of related study and proposed system | 19 |
| 2 | Features of related studies and the proposed system..... | 19 |
| 3 | Software Iteration | 25 |
| 4 | Summary results of the mean and standard deviation of the functionality indicators | 37 |
| 5 | Summary results of the mean and standard deviation of the reliability indicators | 37 |
| 6 | Summary results of the mean and standard deviation of the usability indicators | 38 |
| 7 | Summary results of the mean and standard deviation of the efficiency indicators | 39 |
| 8 | Summary results of the mean and standard deviation of the maintainability indicators | 39 |
| 9 | Summary results of the mean and standard deviation of the portability indicators | 40 |
| 10 | Summary of the results of evaluation with interpretation | 41 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | | Page |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Interview questionnaire form | 48 |
| 2 | Interview report | 51 |
| 3 | Unit testing | 54 |
| 4 | Integration testing | 59 |
| 5 | Software evaluation form | 62 |
| 6 | Certificate | 65 |
| 7 | Source code | 67 |

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

| Appendix Figure | | Page |
|--------------------|---|------|
| 1 | Difficulty on manual checking of the laboratory activities to each of the computers of the students in the laboratory..... | 75 |
| 2 | Lack of time on checking the laboratory activities of the student..... | 76 |
| 3 | Difficulty on tracing the error in the activity..... | 77 |
| 4 | Gantt chart | 78 |
| 5 | Use-case diagram | 79 |

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

| Appendix Table | | Page |
|---------------------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Frequency distribution of scores of the functionality indicator..... | 81 |
| 2 | Frequency distribution of scores of the reliability indicator..... | 81 |
| 3 | Frequency distribution of scores of the usability indicator..... | 81 |
| 4 | Frequency distribution of scores of the efficiency indicator..... | 82 |
| 5 | Frequency distribution of scores of the maintainability indicator..... | 82 |
| 6 | Frequency distribution of scores of the portability indicator..... | 82 |

AUTOMATED WRITER LABORATORY ACTIVITY CHECKER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

**Alyssa Marie A. Arbues
Jennifer E. Mesias**

An undergraduate thesis manuscript submitted to the faculty of the Department of Information Technology, College of Engineering and Information Technology, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science in Computer Science with Contribution No _____.
Prepared under the supervision of Ms. Julie Ann C. Lontoc.

INTRODUCTION

Libre Office is a free and open source office suite, from OpenOffice.org. It includes a word processor called Writer with similar functionality and file support to Microsoft Word. Writer has everything a user would expect from a modern, fully equipped word processor. It is a simple yet powerful enough word processor for creating documents.

The Department of Information Technology offers computer courses that often includes word processing activities using Writer. Instructors teaching the subject commonly find the manual checking of activities both laborious and tedious. An Automated Writer Laboratory Activity Checker is a new system that will surely benefit the instructors.

The study aims to help the instructors in checking the format applied on the entire content of writer laboratory activities of the students. It will develop a system that will