ANTIBIOGRAM OF PRESUMPTIVE Salmonze, and antibiogram of presumptive Salmonze, and antibiogram of the salmonze of Native Chickens (Cahar salmonze) from selected backyard farms in upland caylie

THESIS

YEALALAME & SACLOLO

College of Verezinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences

CANTE STATE I BUNNERSITY

Inding Caying

Cavite State University (Main Library)



T6154
THESIS/SP 636.5 Sa1 2016

April 2016

ANTIBIOGRAM OF PRESUMPTIVE Salmonella enterica ISOLATES IN THE FECES OF NATIVE CHICKENS (Gallus gallus L.) FROM SELECTED BACKYARD FARMS IN UPLAND, CAVITE

Undergraduate Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of the
College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite

In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Veterinary Medicine

Antibiogram of presumptive Salmonella enterica isolates in the faces of native 636.5 Sa1 2016

VERLALAINE P. SACLOLO
April 2016

ABSTRACT

SACLOLO, VERLALAINE P. Antibiogram of Presumptive Salmonella enterica Isolates in the Feces of Native Chickens (Gallus L.) from Selected Backyard Farms in Upland, Cavite. Undergraduate Thesis Doctor of Veterinary Medicine. Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite. April 2016. Adviser: Dr. Ma. Cynthia R. dela Cruz

The study was conducted to determine the antibiotic resistance and susceptibility profile of the presumptive Salmonella enterica isolates in selected backyard farms in upland Cavite. A total of 102 samples were collected and were subjected to cultural and biochemical test. There were 6 out of 102 (5.88%) presumptive Salmonella enterica isolates. From the highest prevalence of 10% (2 out of 20) in Alfonso followed by 6% (3 out of 50), and 3.1% (1 out of 32) in Indang and Mendez respectively. The presumptive samples exhibited red colonies with black center on Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) agar. Results on IMVC were -+-+ and showed negative on oxidase and urease test. All isolates were found to be motile on Sulfide Indole Motility (SIM) test and no host adapted S. enterica serovars Pullorum and Gallinarum were isolated. Antibiotic sensitivity testing showed that presumptive S. enterica isolates were susceptible to aminoglycoside drugs such as gentamicin, neomycin and spectinomycin which have the susceptibility of 83.33%, 50% and 33.33% respectively. Whereas the isolates were 100% resistant to bacitracin, erythromycin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, enrofloxacin, and penicillin. A prevalence rate of 5.88% was obtained from the fecal sample of native chickens collected from selected farms in upland Cavite.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	хi
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
INTRODUCTION	1
Significance of the Study	4
Objectives of the Study	5
Time and Place of the Study	5
Scope and Limitation of the Study	6
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	7
The Genus Salmonella	7
Cultural Characteristics	9
Morphological Characteristics	9
Biochemical Characteristics	10
Isolation of the Organism	11

		rage
	Enrichment Medium for Isolation of Salmonella	11
	Isolation and Identification Media for Salmonella	12
	Antigens	12
	Serotypes	13
	Virulence Factors	14
	Endotoxin	15
	Exotoxin	16
	Epidemiology	16
	Pathogenesis	17
	Salmonella enterica in Poultry	20
	Disease in Humans	21
	Prevalence	23
	Antibiotic Sensitivity and Resistance	24
	Native Chicken	25
	General Characteristics and Ancestry	26
MET	HODOLOGY	28
	Sample Animal	28
	Sample Size Determination	28
	Fecal Sample Collection	29
	Salmonella enterica Isolation	30
	Morphological Characterization	32
	Biochemical Characterization	32

	Page
Antimicrobial Sensitivity Testing	33
Prevalence Rate Determination	37
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	38
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	59
REFERENCES	63
APPENDIX TABLE	70
APPENDIX FIGURES	76
APPENDICES	85

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Cultural, morphological and biochemical result of presumptive Salmonella enterica isolates	46
2	Average antibiotic sensitivity profile of Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from fecal sample of native chickens in selected backyard farms in upland, Cavite	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Uninoculated Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate agar plate (Himedia®)	31
2	A plate of Mueller Hinton (Merck®) Agar pre-inoculated with presumptive Salmonella enterica isolate No. 68 onto which antibiotics bacitracin (A), gentamicin (B), spectinomycin (C), and penicillin (D) was added	35
3	Measuring the zone of inhibition of an antibiotic disc using a ruler	36
4	Bar graph showing the proportion of native chickens sampled based on farm location	39
5	Colony appearance in XLD was slightly transparent round with black center and red halo, a pink-red zone. Indicated by white arrow	42
6	Salmonella enterica isolate No. 6 under 1000 magnification showing pink color, Gram negative rods	44
7	A cotton swab tip (B) with no color change upon addition of oxidase reagent (A) on to a swab of presumptive Salmonella enterica isolate No. 6 showing negative reaction to the test	47
8	A tube of Triple Sugar Iron (TSI) test, showing alkaline (slant) / acid (butt) color change on presumptive Salmonella enterica isolate No. 6	48
9	Tubes of Sulfide Indole Motility (A), Methyl Red (B), Voges Proskauer (C) and citrate utilization test (D), showing negative result in indole and VP test while positive on MR and Citrate utilization test of presumptive Salmonella enterica isolate No.6.	49
10	Tubes of carbohydrate fermentation test on sugars glucose (A), sucrose (B), lactose (C) on phenol red broth base, showing presumptive Salmonella enterica isolate No. 6 was glucose fermentative but sucrose and lactose non-fermentative	50
11	Tubes of Urease test showing negative result in presumptive Salmonella enterica isolate No. 6 (A) while positive result on isolate No. 84 (C).	
	Tube B was uninoculated	51

Figure		Page
12	A plate of Mueller Hinton (Merck®) inoculated with isolate No. 78A showing susceptibility to (B) gentamicin (10μg) and resistant to (A) bacitracin (10μg), (C) penicillin (10μg) and (D) spectinomycin (25μg)	53

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table		Page
1	Data Collection Sheet	71
2	Biochemical result of presumptive Salmonella enterica isolates	72
3	Typical Biochemical Reaction of Salmonella enterica Isolates	73
4	Antibiotic susceptibility profile of presumptive Salmonella enterica	74
5	Zone diameter interpretive Standards for veterinary pathogens (CLSI, 2014)	75

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Appendix Figure		Page
1	Native chickens in a semi-confinement system reared in Indang, Cavite	77
2	Native chickens in a free range management system reared in Alfonso, Cavite	78
3	Proper restraining of native chicken by holding it with both hands while the vent was directed to the one collecting the sample	79
4	A fecal sample was acquired using a sterile swab inserted through the vent of native chicken	80
5	Prepared colony concentration based on the 0.5 MacFarland Nephelometric Standard	81
6	A plate of Mueller Hinton (Merck®) Agar pre-inoculated with presumptive Salmonella enterica isolate	82
7	Rappaport Vassiliadis R10 (RV broth) used as enrichment media for presumptive <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	83
8	Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD agar) used in isolation of S. enterica	84

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
Α	Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) Approval Form	86
В	Biochemical test	87
С	Preparation of Phosphate Buffered Saline	91
D	Procedure for use of Macfarland Nephelometer Standard	92
E	Preparation of Rappaport Vassiliadis R10 (RV broth)	93
F	Preparation of Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate Agar (XLD)	94
G	Flow chart of thesis conduction	95

ANTIBIOGRAM OF PRESUMPTIVE Salmonella enterica ISOLATES IN THE FECES OF NATIVE CHICKENS (Gallus gallus L.) FROM SELECTED BACKYARD FARMS IN UPLAND, CAVITE

Verlalaine Paguio Saclolo

An undergraduate thesis manuscript submitted to the faculty of College of Veterinary
Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite, in partial
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Veterinary Medicine with
contribution No Prepared under supervision of Dr. Ma. Cynthia R. dela
Cruz

INTRODUCTION

Salmonella enterica are gram negative rods and a member of the Family Enterobacteriaceae. The organisms can reside as natural commensal of gastrointestinal system of animals and man or cause disease states that range from self-limited diarrhea to bacteremia with enteric fever or invasion of vascular structures, bone or other localized sites. It is known to be highly host adapted, where they infect only a limited number of species, or can be much more ubiquitous. Presence of Salmonella in poultry may become source of disease depending on the serovar present, the severity of the infection and the age of the chicken, younger birds are more susceptible to infection. S. Pullorum (pullorum disease) and S. Gallinarum (fowl typhoid) are poultry associated organisms (Ziprin & Hume, 2001).