

✓  
AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF MARKETING  
LOSS AND MARGIN FOR SELECTED  
VEGETABLES IN CAVITE

Thesis of: LINA MARERO LIGSA

AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF MARKETING LOSS  
AND MARGIN FOR SELECTED VEGETABLES  
IN CAVITE

Undergraduate Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of the  
Don Severino Agricultural College  
Indang, Cavite

Adviser \_\_\_\_\_ Technical Critic \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Bachelor of Science in Agriculture  
(Major in Agricultural Economics)

NOTE:

Original copy to be filed in the library and one copy  
each to be distributed to the Adviser,  
Department Chairman, Director of Research, Director  
of Higher Education

by  
LINA MARERO LIGSA

April 1990



## ABSTRACT

LIGSA, LINA MARERO, "An Economic Analysis of Marketing Loss and Margin for Selected Vegetables in Cavite", B.S. Thesis, Bachelor of Science in Agriculture, major in Agricultural Economics. Don Severino Agricultural College, Indang, Cavite, April 1990. Adviser: Mrs. Nelia E. Feranil.

The study aimed to: determine the relationship between marketing loss and margin; determine which among the different types of vegetables have the biggest marketing loss; find out the marketing loss among the different types of middlemen; and identify problems encountered by producers and buyers of vegetables in marketing their products.

Data were collected through personal interview with 60 farmers and 40 market intermediaries.

Farmers sold their produce either by picked-up or delivered method. The biggest volume of vegetables produced were sold either to assembler, wholesaler or to wholesaler-retailer while the smallest volume were sold to the final consumer.

Farmer-respondents received better prices from final consumers, and the lowest price was received from assembler and wholesaler-retailer of almost all kinds of vegetables.

Four types of market intermediaries were identified. They were assembler, wholesaler, wholesaler-retailer and



retailers.

Marketing losses of different vegetables were: eggplant - 8.73 percent, radish - 4.12 percent, pechay - 3.45 percent, stringbeans - 3.26 percent, ampalaya - 2.72 percent, onion - 2.28 percent, limabeans - 2.51 percent, and mustard - 1.15 percent.

Among the types of buyers of different vegetables, retailers handled the least volume of products and had the highest marketing costs and losses incurred because selling on retail basis means slower transaction, hence, greater risk was involved. However, they received the highest net margin while the assembler and wholesaler received the least net margin.

It was found out that there were high correlations that existed between marketing loss and margin in radish, ampalaya, and eggplant while onion, pechay, mustard, stringbeans, and limabeans had no correlation.

The problems met by vegetable producers were price fluctuations, perishability of the products, lack of storage facilities and heavy cost of transportation.

On the other hand, the problems encountered by buyers were high perishability of products, lack of capital and storage facilities and inability of outlets to pay debts.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA . . . . .	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT . . . . .	iv
ABSTRACT . . . . .	vi
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	x
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	xii
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Statement of the Problem . . . . .	3
Objectives of the Study . . . . .	3
Importance of the Study . . . . .	44
Operational Definition of Terms . . . . .	4
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE . . . . .	7
METHODOLOGY . . . . .	10
Type and Place of the Study . . . . .	10
Sampling Procedure . . . . .	10
Data Collection . . . . .	10
Method of Analysis . . . . .	10
Hypotheses . . . . .	12
Scope and Limitation of the Study . . . . .	12
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . . . . .	13
Socio-Economic Characteristics of the Respondents . . . . .	13
Marketing at Producers Level . . . . .	18
Volume Sold, method of sale and outlets . . . . .	18



Price received by vegetable producers. . .	21
Marketing at Buyers Level . . . . .	24
Types of Vegetable buyers . . . . .	24
Marketing channels for different vegetables . . . . .	25
Market wastes and losses for different vegetables . . . . .	42
Market losses incurred by middlemen . . .	44
Marketing cost in buying and selling of vegetables . . . . .	47
Marketing margin for different vegetables . . . . .	51
Correlation Coefficient Between Marketing Loss and Margin of Different Vegetables .	56
Marketing Problems . . . . .	57
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION . . . .	59
Summary . . . . .	59
Conclusions . . . . .	62
Recommendations . . . . .	62
LITERATURE CITED . . . . .	64

## APPENDIX

### Questionnaire