TECHNICAL EVALUATION AND STANDARDIZATION OF COCONUT WINE PRODUCTION

Undergraduate Thesis
Submitted to the faculty of the
College of Engineering and Information Technology
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree, Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering

> SHIENNA MAE A. NAZARENO ADRIAN R. VICEDO May 2018





Republic of the Philippines

CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Don Severino de las Alas Campus

Indang, Cavite **(046)** 4150-010 / (046) 4150-021 www.cvsu.edu.ph

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Department of Industrial Engineering and Technology

AUTHORS: SHIENNA MAE A. NAZARENO and ADRIAN R. VICEDO

TECHNICAL EVALUATION AND STANDARDIZATION OF COCONUT WINE TITLE:

PRODUCTION

APPROVED:

MARY JOYCE P. ALCAZAR 05-10-18 Adviser

Technical Critic

5-10-18

GERRY M. CASTILLO Unit Résearch Coordinator

5-10-18

WILLIE C. BUCLATIN Department Chairperson

5-10-18 Date

College/Research Coordinator

Dean

MA. CYNTHIA R. DELA CRUZ

Director for Research

5-17-18 Date

ABSTRACT

NAZARENO, SHIENNA MAE A. and VICEDO, ADRIAN R., Technical Evaluation and Standardization of Coconut Wine Production. Undergraduate Thesis. Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering. Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite. June 2018. Adviser: Ms. Mary Joyce Alcazar.

Coconut wine is an alcoholic beverage known for its potency (80 or 90 proof variations) that rises up out of the sap of the unopened "flower" of the coconut. The coconut wine industry has been present for a long time, but technical evaluation and standardization has not been incorporated in their processes. Therefore, the study aimed to conduct a technical evaluation on coconut wine production and recommend proposals for standardization. The study utilized the experimental method of research. Define-Measure-Analyze-Improve-Control (DMAIC) methodology was used to explain the step by step procedures performed to propose a standard process for coconut wine production.

The study covered five coconut wine distilleries in Liliw, Laguna. Most of these distilleries are in the business for about four decades. Based on the distillery owner's years of experience and on the technical evaluation made during the coconut wine production processing, the fermentation days of coconut sap usually ranges from 3 to 5 days, the hours of cooking at from 7 to 9 hours, and the cooking temperature from 200-300°C to 400-500°C. Fermentation days of coconut sap, cooking hours, and cooking temperature served to be the experimental factors of the study.

The design was laid in a 3 x 3 x 3 factorial experiment using a complete randomized design with the aid of the Design of Experiment (DoE). The computer software generated the random sequence of 27 experimental run, performed with 3

replicates per factor combination. Each experimental runs was tested in terms of the quality parameters pH level, Total Soluble Solids (TSS), and Titratable Acidity (TA) as it can be used as basic quality specifications related to coconut wine.

The researchers used two-way ANOVA to determine whether each main effect and the interaction are statistically significant to the given parameters. Test of between-subject and effects table shows that the three experimental factors significantly affect (p-value < 0.000) the pH level, TSS, and TA of the coconut wine.

The recommended value of each factors were identified using model graph of significant effects. The results show that 3 days of fermentation of coconut sap, eight hours of cooking, with a temperature of 300-400°C, are the recommended values for each experimental factor that produces a good quality of coconut wine (80-90 proof).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	XV
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLE	XV
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURE	xvi
INTRODUCTION	
Statement of the Problem	2
Objectives of the Study	3
Significance of the Study	3
Time and Place of the Study	4
Scope and Limitations of the Study	4
Definition of Terms	5
Conceptual Framework	7
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	8
METHODOLOGY	
Research Design	27
Research Method	27

	Materials	29
	Experimental Design	30
	Data Gathering Procedure	30
	Statistical Treatment of Data	31
RESU	LTS AND DISCUSSION	33
SUMN	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMMEDATIONS	
	Summary	95
	Conclusion	96
	Recommendations	97
REFE	RENCES	100
APPE	NDICES	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Profile of coconut wine distilleries in Liliw, Laguna	34
2	Closeness rating legend	57
3	Values of each level per factor	60
4	Parameters of coconut wine and its standard value	60
5	Evaluation of factors for alternative facility layout 1	66
6	Evaluation of factors for alternative facility layout 2	66
7	Evaluation of factors for alternative facility layout 3	67
8	Comparison of Alternatives	67
9	Results of the experiment for pH levels	69
10	Result of the experiment for total soluble solids (TSS) levels	70
11	Result of the experiment for titratable acidity (TA)	71
12	Dependent Variable: pH	72
13	Recommended value of each factor (pH level)	76
14	Dependent Variable: Total Soluble Solid (°Brix);;;	77
15	Recommended value of each factor (TSS)	81
16	Dependent Variable: %TA as Citric Acid	82
17	Recommended value of each factor (TA)	86
18	Recommended value of each factor	94
16	Replicated test result of pH	111
17	Replicated test result of Total Soluble Solids (TSS)	114

18	Replicated test result of Titratable Acidity (TA)	117
19	Pareto Analysis	120
20	Comparison of Distilleries	120
21	Transferring time of coconut sap to copper boiler	120
22	Values of each level per factor	121
23	Activity Relationship Chart Analysis	121

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Conceptual framework of the study	7
2	Coconut wine processes and corresponding descriptions	10
3	Equipment used in the coconut wine production	12
4	Materials used in the study	29
5	Data gathering procedure	36
6	Process flow chart of coconut wine production	37
7	Present flow process chart of coconut wine production	38
8	Plastic containers	38
9	Strainer	39
10	Copper boiler	39
11	Bronze curl	39
12	Clay jar	40
13	Measuring stick	40
14	Coconut shell with white clean shirt	41
15	Pareto chart for problems encountered in the coconut wine productio	43
16	Fishbone diagram of inconsistent quality of coconut wine	48
17	Affinity diagram	49
18	Interrelationship diagraph	50
19	Tree diagram	51
20	Over fermented coconut sap	52

21	Bating	52
22	Tabad	53
23	Parasan	53
24	Activity relationship diagram for Distillery A	55
25	Present layout of coconut wine production (Distillery A)	56
26	Relationship diagram of coconut wine production	58
27	Comparison of factors and levels per observed distillery	59
28	Alternative facility layout 1	63
29	Alternative facility layout 2	64
30	Alternative facility layout 3	65
31	Model graphs of significant effects for pH level	73
32	Model graphs of significant effects for pH level	74
33	Model graphs of significant effects for pH level	75
34	Model graphs of significant effects for Total Soluble Solids	78
35	Model graphs of significant effects for Total Soluble Solids	79
36	Model graphs of significant effects for Total Soluble Solids	80
37	Model graphs of significant effects for Titratable Acidity	83
38	Model graphs of significant effects for Titratable Acidity	84
39	Model graphs of significant effects for Titratable Acidity	85
40	Proposed flow process chart of coconut wine production	88
41	Proposed facility layout for coconut wine production	90
42	Recommended work flow for the process of coconut wine production	92

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Formulas	105
В	Test Result	109
C	Temperatures	121
D	Facilities layout	123
Е	Forms	128

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLE

Table		Page
1	Replicated test result of pH	110
2	Replicated test result of Total Soluble Solids (TSS)	113
3	Replicated test result of Titratable Acidity (TA)	116

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURE

Figure		Page
1	Temperature: 200-300 °C	120
2	Temperature: 300-400 °C	120
3	Temperature: 400-500 °C	120
4	Distillery B	121
5	Distillery C	122
6	Distillery D	123
7	Distillery E	124