636.513 C88 2008

13

PECT OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF LOCALLY
PRODUCED EM (EFFECTIVE MICROOK GAMISMS)
BOKASHI AS FEED ADDITIVE ON PRODUCTION
PERFORMANCE, MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY
RATES OF BROILER CHICKENS

Thesis

在1997年,在1997年

NORMAN ANABO CRUCIDO

College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences

CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Indang, Cavite

April 2008

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF LOCALLY PRODUCED EM (EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISMS) BOKASHI AS FEED ADDITIVE ON PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE, MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY RATES OF BROILER CHICKENS

Undergraduate Thesis Manuscript
Submitted to the Faculty of the
College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Veterinary Medicine



NORMAN ANABO CRUCIDO April 2008

ABSTRACT

CRUCIDO, NORMAN ANABO, "EFFECT OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF LOCALLY PRODUCED EM (EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISMS) BOKASHI AS FEED ADDITIVE ON PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE, MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY RATES OF BROILER CHICKENS". Undergraduate Thesis. Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, Indang, Cavite March 2008. Adviser: Eugene M. Principe, DVM.

The study determined the effect of different concentrations of locally produced EM (effective microorganisms) bokashi as feed additive on production performance, mortality and morbidity rates of broiler chickens. The study used 150 day-old Cobb strain chicks that were divided into 5 groups: treatment 1 (fed with plain ratio), treatment 2 (fed with 2% EM feed additive), treatment 3 (fed with 3% EM feed additive), treatment 4 (fed with 4% EM feed additive) and treatment 5 (fed with 5% EM feed additive), using complete randomized block design.

Broiler chickens fed in T5 showed better average daily gain than the other treatments on day 30 (32.37). But at day 45, T1 was slightly higher at 37.1.

Feed conversion ratio values of broiler chickens with EM *Bokashi* was slightly better (T5: 2.13, T4: 2.38, T3: 2.48, T2: 2.79) at day 30 relative to the control group (2.94). However at day 45, the FCR of T5 (2.65) was better and followed by T1 (2.82), T4 (2.83), T2 (2.9) and T3 (2.91).

Slight difference on total feed consumed between treatments at day 30 and day 45 was not significant.

The occurrence of respiratory and gastrointestinal diseases was not observed in all the treatment groups.

The cost and return analysis revealed that broiler chickens raised with EM *Bokashi* is more profitable compared to those fed with commercial feeds with a net on gross profit difference of Php 36.41 per bird.

In conclusion, the results of the study showed that using EM *Bokashi* can have an effect on the production performance of broiler chickens. However, during the study, some performance indicators such as FCR values did not meet Philippine standards.

The researcher recommends further study on EM bokashi and its use.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	i
APPROVAL SHEET	ii
BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES.	x
LIST OF FIGURES.	xi
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xii
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES.	xiv
INTRODUCTION	1
Importance of the Study	2
Objectives of the Study	3
Scope and Limitations of the Study	3
Time and Place of the Study	4
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	5

MATERIALS AND METHOD.	14
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	18
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	29
LITERATURE CITED	31
APPENDIX FIGURES	33
APPENDIX TABLES	38
APPENDICES	41

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1	Mean Average Daily Gain (in grams) of Broiler Chickens Fed With Plain Commercial Broiler Rations and Rations Treated with Different Concentrations of EM-1 Bokashi.	18
2	Average Weights (in grams) of Broiler Chickens Fed with Plain Commercial Broiler Rations and Rations Treated Different Concentrations of EM-1 Bokashi.	19
3	Feed Conversion Ratio (in grams) of Broiler Chickens Fed with Plain Commercial Broiler Rations and Rations Treated Different Concentrations of EM-1 Bokashi.	24
4	Per bird profitability analysis (Php) of boilers fed with Plain Commercial Broiler Rations andRations Treated by Different Concentrations of EM-1 Bokashi.	27

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1	Average Daily Gain (ADG) of Broiler Chickens Fed With PlainCommercial Broiler Rations and Rations Treated With Different Concentrations of EM-1 <i>Bokashi</i> .	19
2	Feed Consumption (in grams) of Broiler Chickens Fed with Plain Commercial Broiler Rations and Rations Treated with Different Concentrations of EM-1 Bokashi.	22

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Appendix Figure	Title	Page
1	Housing where the experiment was conducted	34
2	EM inoculant	34
3	Rice bran and copra meal mixture	35
4	Molasses and EM inoculant mixture	35
5	EM Bokashi preparation	36
6	Prepared EM Bokashi	36
7	Experimental animals at day 1	37
8	Experimental animals during the conduct of the study	37

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table	Title		Page
1	Approximate preparation EM Bokashi additive preaparation at 20 bird level	Feed	39
2	Feeding and Treatment Schedule		40

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Title	Page
Α	Physical layout of poultry house	42
В	Presentation of Carandang G. A. on lcally produced EM-1 Bokashi	43
С	Preparation of EM-1 Bokashi (for feed additive	44
D	Ingredients and Proximate Analysis of Feeds used in the study	46
E	Cost and Return Analysis of Broiler Chickens Fed with Plain Commercial Broiler Ration (T1)	48
F	Cost and Return Analysis of Broiler Chickens Fed with 2% EM Bokashi and Plain Commercial Broiler Ration (T2)	49
G	Cost and Return Analysis of Broiler Chickens Fed with 3% EM Bokashi and Plain Commercial Broiler Ration (T3)	50
Н	Cost and Return Analysis of Broiler Chickens Fed with 4% EM Bokashi and Plain Commercial Broiler Ration (T4)	51
I	Cost and Return Analysis of Broiler Chickens Fed with 5% EM Bokashi and Plain Commercial Broiler Ration (T5)	52
J	ANOVA for Average Weight, Average Daily Gain, Feed Consumed, Feed Conversion Ratio at days 30 and 45	53

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF LOCALLY PRODUCED EM (EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISMS) BOKASHI AS FEED ADDITIVE ON PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE, MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY RATES OF BROILER CHICKENS

by

NORMAN ANABO CRUCIDO

A Thesis Manuscrip	t submitted to the faculty of the College of Doctor of	
Veterinary Medicine and Bio	medical Sciences, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite in	
partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Veterinary Medicine		
with contribution no.	. Prepared under the supervision of Dr. Eugene	
Principe.	•	

INTRODUCTION

The Philippine chicken industry is a significant contributor to the country's agriculture sector. It is third after palay and hog in terms of value of output. Chicken production in 2007 increased by 0.31% from 1.2 tons live weight production in 2004 and shared 14.02% of total agricultural production¹. Among the Asian countries, China, Japan and Thailand have consistently been the top producers. The Philippines is way behind producing an average of only 570,000 tons per year. (Sevilla, 2005)

Problems including inefficient management and the prevalence of diseases and parasites are some of the predisposing factors why our country is lagging behind inspite of new health programs, vaccines and improved feed ration (Sangatanan and Sangatanan, 2000). To address these problems, more and more farmers resort in the use of probiotics as feed and water additive, among these are Enriched Microoganisms (EM). Studies

¹ http://www.bas.gov.ph/perflastyear.php?id=3