

**DESIGN OF A LABORATORY CONICAL
STRIPPER-HARVESTER FOR RICE**

JAIME Q. DILIDILI

MAY, 1983

✓
DESIGN OF A LABORATORY CONICAL STRIPPER-
HARVESTER FOR RICE

JAIME Q. DILIDILI



00002128

*Design of a laboratory conical
stripper-harvester for rice*
631.3 D57 1983
T-1098

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL,
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES AT LOS BAÑOS,
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE
(Agricultural Engineering)

May, 1983

ABSTRACT

DILIDILI, JAIME Q., University of the Philippines
at Los Baños, May, 1983. Design of a Laboratory Conical
Stripper-Harvester for Rice. Major Professor:
Dr. Carlos R. del Rosario.

A two-row laboratory conical stripper-harvester for rice was designed and fabricated in the College of Engineering and Agro-Industrial Technology to evaluate the machine performance and hence establish the optimum combination of the operating parameters involved, namely: concave clearance C , rotor rpm N , and forward speed V , that would maximize grain collection.

The laboratory set-up consisted of five main components: the trolley, the plant feeder composed of plant guide and auger, the conical rotor which is the threshing component, the concave, and the collection pan.

Results show that maximum grain collection of 97.5%, i.e. total grain loss of no more than 2.5%, can be obtained when the rice stripper is operated at the following level of the factor variables: (1) forward speed of 1 km per hr, rotor rpm of 703, and concave clearance of 4.0 mm; (2) forward speed of 2 km per hr, rotor rpm of 752, and

concave clearance of 3.75 mm; and (3) forward speed of 3 kp per hr, rotor rpm of 736, and concave clearance of 3.40 mm.

The predicted stripping efficiency on the above values were 98.875, 98.458 and 97.802%, consecutively.

The future field prototype would have a potential capacity of 0.0432 - 0.1296 ha per hr (0.3456 - 1.0358 ha per day). It would take 8 - 24 hrs to harvest a hectare. Three men will be required to operate the stripper and will have a labor requirement of 23 - 69 man-hr per ha.

The rice stripper compared favorably with any known method of rice harvesting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
Limitation of the Study	5
Objectives of the Study	6
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	7
MATERIALS AND METHODS	23
Design Requirements	23
Design Principle	23
Mechanical Design	28
The trolley	31
The plant feeder	32
The conical rotor	36
The concave	38
The collection pan	39
Experimental Design	40
The independent variables	40
The dependent variables	44
Statistical design	47
Statistical analysis	48
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	50

	<u>Page</u>
The Response and the Response Surface	52
The Polynomial Representation of the Response Surface	53
Effects of the Factor Variables on Responses	55
Contour Plots of Response Variables	66
Analysis of Fitted Surface	90
Canonical analysis	97
Interpretation of the system	99
Prediction of minimum and maximum responses	105
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	111
RECOMMENDATIONS	113
LITERATURE CITED	114
APPENDIX	119

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Types of longitudinal stripper	8
2	Types of transverse stripper	9
3	A comparison of two means of harvesting	25
4	Operating principle of the canonical-rotary stripper harvester	26
5	The centrifugal force component F_2 that caused the movement of the straw toward the inner portion of the threshing area	28
6	The laboratory set-up of the rice stripper	29
7	The major components of the rice stripper	29
8	Top view of the conical-rotary stripper arrangement	
9	The trolley with rice plants engaged by the auger	34
10	Close-up view of the conical rotor	34
11	Side view of the conical-rotary stripper arrangement	34a

Figures 12-19 and 24-41 indicate the relationships between response variables (feeder loss FL, ground loss GL, stripping loss SL, unstripped loss UL, collecting efficiency EC, and stripping efficiency ES) and factor variables (concave clearance C, rotor rpm N, and forward velocity V).

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
12	Feeder loss and concave clearance	57
13	Ground loss and concave clearance	58
14	Collecting efficiency and concave clearance	59
15	Stripping efficiency and concave clearance	61
16	Feeder loss and rotor rpm	63
17	Collecting efficiency and rotor rpm	63
18	Stripping efficiency and rotor rpm	65
19	Feeder loss and forward speed	67
20	A response surface of second degree equation representing a simple maxima	69
21	A response surface of a second degree equation representing a minimax	70
22	A response surface of a second degree equation representing a stationary ridge	72
23	A response surface of a second degree equation representing a rising ridge	73
24	Ground loss, concave clearance, and rotor rpm	75
25	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance, and rotor rpm	76
26	Stripping efficiency, concave clearance, and rotor rpm	77

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
27	Ground loss, concave clearance, and forward speed	78
28	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance and forward speed	79
29	Feeder loss, rotor rpm and forward speed	81
30	Stripping efficiency, rotor rpm, and forward speed	82
31	Ground loss, concave clearance, and rotor rpm; forward speed at 1 km per hr	84
32	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance and rotor rpm; forward speed at 1 km per hr	85
33	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance and rotor rpm; forward speed at 2 km per hr	86
34	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance, rotor rpm; forward speed at 3 km per hr	87
35	Stripping efficiency, concave clearance, and rotor rpm; forward speed at 1 km per hr	88
36	Ground loss, concave clearance, and forward speed; rotor at 900 rpm	89
37	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance, and forward speed; rotor at 600 rpm	91
38	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance, and forward speed; rotor at 750 rpm	92
39	Collecting efficiency, concave clearance, and forward speed; rotor at 900 rpm	93

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
40	Feeder loss, rotor rpm, and forward speed; concave clearance at 4 mm	94
41	Stripping efficiency, rotor rpm, and forward speed; concave clearance at 4 mm	95
42	Some possible three-dimensional contour surfaces in a near stationary region	96

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

<u>Appendix Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Table of means for response variable	119
2	Analysis of variance for response variables	121
3	Regression coefficients and related statistics of response variables	124
4	Anova for testing lack of fit	127
5	Regression coefficients of final model and related statistics	
6	Canonical property of fitted response surface	131
7	Comparative labor requirement and losses between alternative systems of harvesting and threshing	132
8	Labor requirements and losses of grains during different activities in harvesting and threshing operations	133
9	Analysis of variance of response variables as influenced by different levels of grain moisture contents	135
10	Average height of prominent IR rice varieties at harvesting stage	137

INTRODUCTION

Man is always in search for food. Different governments of the world will always strive for self-sufficiency in food to feed its increasing inhabitants. By the year 2000, it is estimated that there will be six billion people on earth, and by 2025 there will be fifty billion (Smith, 1978). If our food production lags behind, people on earth will starve. Alternative ways of increasing food production, therefore, have to be found.

In most tropical countries, rice is the main agricultural crop. It is also the lifeblood of 50 million Filipinos. The Philippines depends on 3.5 million hectares of rice farms for its rice supply.

In 1978, this area produced some 7.3 million metric tons of paddy worth 8.0 billion pesos (Palacpac, 1980). During the same period, the country was able to achieve rice self-sufficiency and exported some of the surplus. Since then, the Philippines has achieved significant gains in food production to become one of Asia's rice exporter.

This remarkable change in our rice situation can be attributed to the adoption of new technology, development of high yielding varieties, irrigation development,