642

# YIELD PERFORMANCE OF TOMATO VARIETIES AS APPECTED BY PRUNING

B.S. THESIS

Carlito De Guzman Gallardo

DON SEVERINO AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE

Indang, Cavite

April, 1987

## YIELD PERFORMANCE OF TOMATO VARIETIES AS AFFECTED BY PRUNING

An Undergraduate Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of the
Dom Severino Agricultural College
Indang, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree of

Bachelor of Science in Agriculture

(Major in Horticulture)



Yield performance of tomato varieties a affected by pruning 635.642 G13 1987

CARLITO DE GUZMAN GALLARDO April, 1987

#### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to determine the yield performance of tomato varieties as affected by pruning.

It was observed that tomato varieties when pruned into three-stemmed plants, fruit setting and maturity was earlier as compared with the unpruned plants. Furthermore, the percentage fruit setting in all varieties imcreased by pruning from 35.03 to 57.19 percent in Marilag, 36.38 to 52.95 percent in Improve Pope, 34.03 to 52.48 percent in VCll-1, and from 30.99 to 44.26 percent in Marikit. Comparison among means on the number of fruit set in the pruned and unpruned plants was not significant. Likewise, considering the yield as to the weight of marketable fruits, pruning has a remarkable effect.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	. iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	. iv
ABSTRACT	. vi
LIST OF TABLES	. ix
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	. x
LIST OF FIGURES	. xi
INTRODUCTION	. 1
Importance of the Study	. 2
Objectives of the Study	. 2
Time and Place of the Study	• 3
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	. 4
MATERIALS AND METHODS	. 6
Materials	. 6
Methods • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• 6
Seed procurement	. 6
Sowing the seeds	. 6
Land preparation	• 6
Experimental field layout	. 7
Transplanting	. 7
Fertilizer application	• 7
Watering	• 7
Weeding and cultivation	• 7

																					Page
	Contr	ol	of	pe	esi	ts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
	Pruni	ng	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
	Harve	sti	.ng	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
	Gather	rin	ıg (	o f	da	ata	ì	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
D:	ISCUSSIOI	N C	)F 1	RE	SUI	TS	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	Gemeral							f	th	ıe	St	cud	ly	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	Number (	o f	Day	y s	fı											•	•	•	•	•	10
	Percenta	age	Fı	rui	Lt	Se	tt	ir	ıg	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
	Number of	of uit	Day Ma	ys ati	fı ıri	om Lty	ı I	!rv	iit •		Se t	ti •	.ng	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
	Number (	o f	Maı	rke	eta	abl	.e	Fr	ui	.ts	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
	Number (	of	Nor	1 – P	lar	rke	te	abl	.e	Fr	ui	.te	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	19
	Weight																•	•	•	•	21
	Computed																•	•	•	•	23
сT	MMARY,	CON	CL	JS]	[0]	I A	NI	) F	EC	OM	ME	INI	PA(	PI:	N	•	•	•	•	•	25
Ðί	Summary	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
	Conclusi	ion		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
	Recommen			m	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	26
	BLIOGRAF					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
B]	PENDICES	 	_					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
AF	Appendix	. m	• □ah1	9.9	3	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
	Appendix												•					•	•	•	36
	mi miras	•		•	•	•	•	-	•	•	_	-	~	-	-	•	•	•	-	-	

### LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Comparisom Between Means im Number of Days from Transplanting to Fruit Setting	12
2	Comparisom Between Means of Percentage Fruit Setting	14
3	Comparison Between Means in Number of Days from Fruit Setting to Fruit Maturity	16
4	Comparisom Between Means in Number of Marketable Fruits	18
5	Comparison Between Means in Number of Non-Marketable Fruits	20
6	Comparison Betweem Means in Weight of Marketable Fruits in Grams	22
7	Computed Yield per Hectare in Kilograms	24

#### LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table		Page
1	Average Number of Days from Transplanting to Fruit Setting of Tomato Varieties as Affected by Pruning	30
2	Average Percentage Fruit Setting of Tomato Varieties As Affected by Pruning	31
3 <u>Q</u>	Average Number of Days from Fruit Setting to Fruit Maturity of Tomato Varieties as Affected by Pruning	32
4	Average Number of Marketable Fruits per Plant of Tomato Varieties as Affected by Pruning	33
5	Average Number of Non-Marketable Fruits per Plant of Tomato Varieties as Affected by Pruning	34
6	Average Weight of Marketable Fruits in Grams per Plant of Tomato Varieties as Affected by Pruning	35

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Experimental Field Layout	37
2	General View of the Experiment	38
3	Samples of Marketable Fruits	39
L	Samples of Nom-Marketable Fruits	40

### YIELD PERFOMANCE OF TOMATO VARIETIES AS AFFECTED BY PRUNING 1

by

### CARLITO DE GUZMAN GALLARDO

Am Undergraduate Thesis presented to the Faculty of the Dom Severimo Agricultural College, Indang, Cavite, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for graduation with the degree of Bachelor of Science in Agriculture with the degree of Bachelor of Science in Agriculture (BSA), Major im Horticulture. Comtribution No. P.S. 87029-007. Prepared in the Department of Plant Science under the direct supervision and guidance of Mr. Wilfredo N. Sierra.

#### INTRODUCTION

Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum, Mill.) is one of the most important vegetable crops throughout the world. Botanically, tomato is fruit, but is commonly referred to as vegetable. It is grown both for homes and markets in almost any community im the country. It is one of the popular salad vegetables. It is made into preserve, pickles, catsup, sauce and soups. It is served raw, baked, stewed, fried and as spice for other foods. Tomatoes are rich in potassium, Vitamin A1, and Vitamin C. A single medium-sized tomato has about 33 calories just about half the calories of apple of the same size.