

# **NONLINEAR BEHAVIOR OF MULTISTORY STEEL BUILDINGS USING ITERATIVE APPROACH**

**A Directed Study  
Presented to the  
Faculty of Graduate School of Engineering of the  
Pamantasan ng Lungsod ng Maynila  
(University of the City of Manila)**

**In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
For the Degree  
Master of Engineering (MEng)  
with Specialization in  
Structural Engineering**

**By**

**MARCELINO A. DAGASDAS, Jr.**

**03 FEBRUARY 2001**



**NONLINEAR BEHAVIOR OF MULTISTORY STEEL BUILDINGS  
USING ITERATIVE APPROACH**

**A Directed Study  
Presented to the  
Faculty of Graduate School of Engineering of the  
Pamantasan ng Lungsod ng Maynila  
(University of the City of Manila)**

**In Partial Fullfillment of the Requirements  
For the Degree  
Master of Engineering ( MEng )  
with Specialization in  
Structural Engineering**

**By**

**MARCELINO A. DAGASDAS, JR.**

**03 FEBRUARY 2001**

## ABSTRACT

The built-in capability of STAAD-III to perform nonlinear analysis based on the geometric nonlinearity within the elastic limit of the material was presented. The complexity of mathematical expressions involving matrix operation and dynamic equation was simplified by using STAAD - III Nonlinear Analysis Method.

The scope of the problem was limited to nonlinear behavior of multistory steel buildings using iterative approach. The structures was analyzed for combined lateral seismic and vertical loads. All horizontal floor girders were wide flange sections. All columns were W14 sections (Wide Flange) of various weights per foot, which were spliced every two floors. All connections were welded and moment resisting. The seismic analysis was based on existing codes and specifications of NSCP 1992. The Allowable Stress Design (ASD) Method of AISC -1989 was adopted for analysis, design, checking and optimization of the structural members for nonlinear.

The main objective of this study was to investigate the effects of nonlinear behavior in the multistory steel building which includes the optimization of structural members, comparison of lateral displacement and member forces obtained from conventional analysis.

The study revealed that minimal changes occur and said changes were not significant to the integrity of the overall members of the buildings. Thus, the effect of nonlinear behavior can be neglected because changes in structural members, lateral displacements and member forces were very small.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title Page	i
Recommendation Sheet	ii
Approval Sheet	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Abstract	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	x
1. Introduction	
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	2
1.3 Objectives of the Study	3
1.4 Importance of the Study	3
1.5 Scope and Delimitation of the Study	4
1.6 Definition of Terms	5
2. Review of Related Literature and Studies	
2.1 Methods of Nonlinear Analysis	
2.1.1 Incremental Approach	8
2.1.2 Iterative Approach	11
3. Methodology	
3.1 Gathering of Technical Data	14

	Page
3.2 Program Input / Output	17
3.3 Comparison of Nonlinear / Conventional Analysis	17
4. Overview of Stiffness Analysis Method in STAAD – III	19
5. Salient Features of STAAD – III	26
6. Results and Discussions	
6.1 Principles of Strong Column – Weak Beam	30
6.2 Ductility	31
6.3 Yield Stress	32
6.4 Presentation of Program Input/ Output	40
6.5 Optimization of Structural Members	41
6.6 Lateral Displacements	42
6.7 Comparison of Nonlinear and Conventional Analysis	47
7. Conclusions and Summary	53
8. Recommendations	54
Appendices	
Appendix A. Lateral Displacement for Nonlinear Analysis	55
Appendix B. Comparison of Lateral Displacement and Optimized Structural Member for Nonlinear and Conventional Analysis	74
Appendix C. Optimized Structural Members	97
Appendix D. STAAD – III Out put ( 6 x 3 )	120
Appendix E. STAAD – III Commands	137
Bibliography	147
Biographical Sketch	148

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Incremental approach	10
2. Iterative approach	12
3. Joint and member number of six story x three bay (6 x 3 ) multistory steel building	20
 Appendix A	
1. Lateral displacement for sixth story building	56
2. Lateral displacement for tenth story building	58
4. Lateral displacement for fourteenth story building	61
5. Lateral displacement for eighteenth story building	63
6. Lateral displacement for twenty second story building	66
7. Lateral displacement for twenty sixth story building	69
8. Lateral displacement for thirtieth story building	72
 Appendix B	
1. Comparison of structural members for six story models ( 6 x 3 )	74
2. Comparison of structural members for ten story models ( 10 x 3 )	75
3. Comparison of structural members for fourteen story models ( 14x3 )	76
4. Comparison of structural members for eighteen story models ( 18x3 )	77
5. Comparison of structural members for twenty two story models ( 22x3 )	79
6. Comparison of structural members for twenty six story models ( 26x3 )	81
7. Comparison of structural members for thirty story models ( 30 x 3 )	83
8. Comparison of lateral displacement for nonlinear and conventional analysis ( 6 x 3 )	85



	Page
9. Comparison of lateral displacement for nonlinear and conventional Analysis ( 10 x 3 )	86
10. Comparison of lateral displacement for nonlinear and conventional analysis ( 14 x 3 )	87
11. Comparison of lateral displacement for nonlinear and conventional analysis ( 18 x 3 )	89
12. Comparison of lateral displacement for nonlinear and conventional analysis ( 22 x 3 )	91
13. Comparison of lateral displacement for nonlinear and conventional analysis ( 26 x 3 )	93
14. Comparison of lateral displacement for nonlinear and conventional analysis ( 30 x 3 )	95

## Appendix C

1. Optimized structural members ( 6 x 3 )	97
2. Optimized structural members ( 6 x 4 )	
3. Optimized structural members ( 10 x 3 )	97
4. Optimized structural members ( 10 x 4 )	98
5. Optimized structural members ( 10 x 5 )	98
6. Optimized structural members ( 14 x 3 )	99
7. Optimized structural members ( 14 x 4 )	99
8. Optimized structural members ( 14 x 5 )	100

	Page
9. Optimized structural members ( 14 x 6 )	100
10. Optimized structural members ( 14 x 7 )	101
11. Optimized structural members ( 18 x 3 )	101
12. Optimized structural members ( 18 x 4 )	102
13. Optimized structural members ( 18 x 5 )	102
14. Optimized structural members ( 18 x 6 )	103
15. Optimized structural members ( 18 x 7 )	103
16. Optimized structural members ( 22 x 3 )	104
17. Optimized structural members ( 22 x 4 )	105
18. Optimized structural members ( 22 x 5 )	106
19. Optimized structural members ( 22 x 6 )	107
20. Optimized structural members ( 22 x 7 )	108
21. Optimized structural members ( 26 x 3 )	109
22. Optimized structural members ( 26 x 4 )	110
23. Optimized structural members ( 26 x 5 )	111
24. Optimized structural members ( 26 x 6 )	112
25. Optimized structural members ( 26 x 7 )	113
26. Optimized structural members ( 30 x 3 )	114
27. Optimized structural members ( 30 x 4 )	115
28. Optimized structural members ( 30 x 5 )	116
29. Optimized structural members ( 30 x 6 )	117
30. Optimized structural members ( 30 x 7 )	118



## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Nonlinear Analysis	
1. Structural data for a multistory steel building	15
2. Program input for six story x three bay ( 6 x 3 ) multistory steel building	18
3. Column / Beam ratio for ( 30 x 3 ) multistory steel building	30
4 - 10. Comparison of yield stress for nonlinear and conventional analysis. Thirty ( 30 ) story x three ( 3 ) bay multistory steel building	33
Appendix A	
1. Lateral displacement for six story models	55
2. Lateral displacement for ten story models	57
3. Lateral displacement for fourteen story models	59
4. Lateral displacement for eighteen story models	60
5. Lateral displacement for twenty two story models	64
6. Lateral displacement for twenty six story models	67
7. Lateral displacement for thirty story models	70
Appendix B	
1. Comparison of structural members for sixth story multistory steel buildings	85
2. Comparison of structural members for tenth story multistory steel buildings	86

	Page
3. Comparison of structural members for fourteenth story multistory steel buildings	87
4. Comparison of structural members for eighteenth story multistory steel buildings	88
5. Comparison of structural members for twenty second story multistory steel buildings	90
6. Comparison of structural members for twenty sixth story multistory steel buildings	92
7. Comparison of structural members for thirtieth story multistory steel buildings	94

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the Study

In all analysis, the structure behaves linearly under applied loads. That is, the computed joint displacements and member end loads are directly proportional to the joints loads. If the loads are doubled, then these quantities also double. This assumption is valid for the majority of the structures encountered in the day-to-day operations of most structural engineering design offices. However, there are some situations in which nonlinear behavior must be considered to obtain a realistic analysis of a structure. There are two primary sources of nonlinearity: First, the change in geometry, which can occur as the loads are applied to the structure. As the load is applied to a joint in a real structure, that joint will move with respect to the members connected to the joint, and the moment, which the load causes about other points in the structure. If the displacements are large enough, the effect, which the loads have upon the structure, can change significantly. Secondly, the nonlinear load-deformation behavior of the individual members will result in a global stiffness matrix, which changes with the magnitude of the applied loads on the structure. One obvious source of this nonlinear behavior is the nonlinear stress – strain relationship of the material. However, this is usually not a common occurrence in the static analysis of the types of the structures encountered in most structural engineering offices (Fleming , 1989).