CEVILOPARAT OF AN ORDER SESSION NAD ORACIANO SYSTEM OF THE ETHICS REVIEW BOARD APPLICATIONS FOR CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

THESIS

ARLOU A. BELORIA
JOSHUA RAMESES D. LEVARDO

College of Engineering and Information Technology

CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Indang, Cavite

June 2019

DEVELOPMENT OF AN ONLINE SUBMISSION AND TRACKING SYSTEM OF THE ETHICS REVIEW BOARD APPLICATIONS FOR CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Undergraduate Thesis
Submitted to the Faculty of the
College of Engineering and Information Technology
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Bachelor of Science in Information Technology



Development of an online submission and tracking system of the ethics review board 025.04(1841 2019 T.8502

ARLOU A. BELORIA
JOSHUA RAMESES D. LEVARDO
June 2019

ABSTRACT

BELORIA, ARLOU A. and LEVARDO, JOSHUA RAMESES D. Development of an Online Submission and Tracking System of the Ethics Review Board Applications for Cavite State University. Undergraduate Thesis. Bachelor of Science in Information Technology. Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite. April 2019. Adviser: Mr. Mark Philip M. Sy.

The study was conducted from August 2018 to April 2019 at Cavite State University, Indang Cavite to develop an Online Submission and Tracking System for the Ethics Review Board Applications for Cavite State University. Specifically, it aimed to: design an account module that can help the researchers be more informed about the process of ERB applications; create a file management module that can help the ERB office for managing of application files be more organized and efficient; construct an application tracking module that can compensate for the tracking of ERB applications. This can also help the researchers for the inquiries about the status of the researches; build a report module that can help the ERB office for the dissemination of application results be more efficient and organized by providing individual report results and logging every ERB application; test the system using unit and acceptance testing method; and evaluate the system using unit, integration and system testing.

The system provided four modules, account module, file management module, application tracking module, and report module. Account module handles users access in the information system, File management module handles the central storehouse of the data where only authorized user can access the files of the ERB forms. Application tracking module is responsible for tracking of ERB application.

The researchers used the Iterative Development Methodology to develop the system which is composed of seven (7) phases namely: planning; requirement and analysis design; implementation; software testing; unit testing; evaluation and deployment phase.

Furthermore, with the help of Dreamweaver, PHP, XAMPP, and MySQL the system was developed. The system was evaluated by 100 respondents. It was composed of Ethic Review Board, Ten (10) Faculty Members, and 90 selected students of Cavite State University-Main. The system passed all the criteria in the evaluators and met all the requirements and objectives.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT v ABSTRACT ix LIST OF TABLES xii LIST OF FIGURES Xii LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES xv LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES xvi LIST OF APPENDICES xvi INTRODUCTION 1 Statement of the Problem 2 Objectives of the Study 3 Conceptual Framework 5 Significance of the Study 6 Time and Place of the Study 7 Scope and Limitation of the Study 7 Definition of Terms 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24		Page
ABSTRACT	BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	iii
LIST OF TABLES. LIST OF FIGURES. LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES. LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES. LIST OF APPENDICES. XVI INTRODUCTION. Statement of the Problem. Objectives of the Study. Conceptual Framework. Significance of the Study. Time and Place of the Study. Scope and Limitation of the Study. Definition of Terms. 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE. MATERIALS. 24	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
LIST OF FIGURES. Xii LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES. XVI LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES. XVI INTRODUCTION. 1 Statement of the Problem. 2 Objectives of the Study. 3 Conceptual Framework. 5 Significance of the Study. 6 Time and Place of the Study. 7 Scope and Limitation of the Study. 7 Definition of Terms. 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY. 24 Materials. 24	ABSTRACT	ix
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES. LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES. LIST OF APPENDICES. XVI INTRODUCTION. Statement of the Problem. Objectives of the Study. Conceptual Framework. Significance of the Study. Time and Place of the Study. Scope and Limitation of the Study. Definition of Terms. 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE. MATERIALS. 24	LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES xvi LIST OF APPENDICES xvi INTRODUCTION 1 Statement of the Problem 2 Objectives of the Study 3 Conceptual Framework 5 Significance of the Study 6 Time and Place of the Study 7 Scope and Limitation of the Study 7 Definition of Terms 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24	LIST OF FIGURES	Xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xiv
INTRODUCTION	LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xvi
Statement of the Problem 2 Objectives of the Study 3 Conceptual Framework 5 Significance of the Study 6 Time and Place of the Study 7 Scope and Limitation of the Study 7 Definition of Terms 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
Objectives of the Study	INTRODUCTION	1
Conceptual Framework 5 Significance of the Study 6 Time and Place of the Study 7 Scope and Limitation of the Study 7 Definition of Terms 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24	Statement of the Problem	2
Significance of the Study	Objectives of the Study	3
Time and Place of the Study	Conceptual Framework	5
Scope and Limitation of the Study. 7 Definition of Terms 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24	Significance of the Study	6
Definition of Terms. 11 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24	Time and Place of the Study	7
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24	Scope and Limitation of the Study	7
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 13 METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24	Definition of Terms	11
METHODOLOGY 24 Materials 24		
Materials 24		
24	Methods	

System Architecture	28
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	29
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	50
Summary	50
Conclusion	51
Recommendations	52
REFERENCES	53
APPENDICES	56

LIST OF TABLES

Fables		Page
1	Comparison Table	23
2	Mean score for the functionality of the software from the non-technical evaluation	42
3	Mean score result for software's reliability from the non-technical evaluation	42
4	Mean score for the usability of the software from the non-technical evaluation	43
5	Mean score result for the user-friendliness of the software from the non- technical evaluation	44
6	Overall result of the non-technical evaluation	44
7	Mean score for functionality of the software from the technical evaluation	45
8	Mean score for reliability of the software from the technical evaluation.	45
9	Mean score for usability of the software from the technical evaluation	46
10	Mean score for efficiency of the software from the technical evaluation	47
11	Mean score for maintainability of the software from the technical evaluation	47
12	Mean score for portability of the software from the technical evaluation	48
13	Mean score for user-friendliness of the software from the technical evaluation	49
14	Overall result of the technical evaluation	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures		Page
1	Conceptual Framework of the system	5
2	Iterative Model	25
3	System architecture of the online submission and tracking system of the ethics review board applications for cavite state university	28
4	Screenshot of the main page	31
5	Screenshot of the login form	31
6	Screenshot of home page	32
7	Screenshot of profile information	33
8	Screenshot of management of secretary accounts	34
9	Screenshot of management of colleges	35
10	Screenshot of management of courses	36
11	Screenshot of generation of reports	37
12	Screenshot of generated report	38
13	Screenshot of viewing submitted research	39
14	System evaluation by the client	40
15	System evaluation by the students	40

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table		Page
1	Frequency distribution of scores of the functionality indicator of non-technical evaluators	73
2	frequency distribution of scores of the reliability indicator of non-technical evaluators	73
3	Frequency distribution of scores of the usability indicator of non-technical evaluators	73
4	Frequency distribution of scores of the user-friendliness indicator of non-technical evaluators	73
5	Frequency distribution of scores of the functionality indicator of technical evaluators	74
6	Frequency distribution of scores of the functionality indicator of technical evaluators	74
7	Frequency distribution of scores of the reliability indicator of technical evaluators	74
8	Frequency distribution of scores of the efficiency indicator of technical evaluators	75
9	Frequency distribution of scores of the maintainability indicator of technical evaluators	75
10	Frequency distribution of scores of the portability indicator of technical evaluators	75
11	Frequency distribution of scores of the user-friendliness indicator of technical evaluators	76
12	Table Structure of enrollstudentinfomation	77
13	Table Structure of tbl_research	78
14	Table Structure of tbl_research_history	78

15	Table Structure of tbl_confirm	78
16	Table Structure of tbl_user	79
17	Table Structure of tbl_chairman	79
18	Table Structure of tbl_remark	79
19	Table Structure of tbl_college	79
20	Table Structure of tbl_course	79
21	Table Structure of tbl_history	80

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Appendix Figure		Page
1	Survey results for the number of graduating students	57
2	Survey results for students having a thesis or not	57
3	Survey results for students who know what an ERB is	58
4	Survey results for students who know what is the purpose of ERB	58
5	Survey results for who know the process for an ERB application	59
6	Fishbone diagram for the researchers lack awareness about the ERB	61
7	Fishbone diagram for the difficulty tracking the status of ERB application	61
8	Fishbone diagram for the difficulty managing the submitted researches	62
9	Fishbone diagram for the difficulty in storing and distributing evaluation results	62
.10	Use case diagram for the account module.	64
11	Use case diagram for the file management module	65
12	Use case diagram for the application tracking module	66
13	Use case diagram for the report module	67
14	Gantt Chart	69
15	Context diagram for the submission of ERB applications in Ethical Review Board Office	70
16	Context diagram for the online submission and tracking system of the Ethics Review Board applications	71

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
1	Interview Report	81
2	Line Item Budget	84
3	Non-Technical and Technical Evaluation Forms	86
4	Database Schema	89
5	Unit Testing.	91
6	Integration Testing	95
7	Sample Source Code	97
8	Letters and Certificates	100

DEVELOPMENT OF AN ONLINE SUBMISSION AND TRACKING SYSTEM OF THE ETHICS REVIEW BOARD APPLICATIONS FOR CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

Arlou A. Beloria Joshua Rameses D. Levardo

An undergraduate thesis manuscript submitted to the faculty of the Department of Information Technology, College of Engineering and Information Technology, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science in Information Technology with contribution No. <u>CEIT 208-19-2-246</u> Prepared under the supervision of Mr. Mark Philip M. Sy

INTRODUCTION

According to Vladimir (2011), Information System is a kind of integrated set of components for collecting, storing, and processing data for providing information, knowledge, and digital products. Business firms and other organizations rely on information systems to carry out and manage their operations, interact with their operations, interact with their customers and suppliers, and compete in the marketplace.

Ethics Review Board (ERB) is composed of individuals with diverse backgrounds, whose responsibility is to ensure that the rights and welfare of the research participants are promoted and their safety protected, while encouraging the conduct of ethically sound research.