PERFORMANCE OF HYBRID TOMATO (Selanum lycopersicum) VARIETIES TO DEFENDAL EFFECTIVE LUCROOMGANISM (EM) DERIVIATIVE COMBINATIONS

GIMA ROMILLA ROSARDA



PERFORMANCE OF HYBRID TOMATO (Solanum lycopersicum) VARIETIES TO DIFFERENT EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISM (EM) DERIVATIVE COMBINATIONS

Doctoral Dissertation
Submitted to the Faculty of the
Graduate School and Open Learning College
Cavite State University
Indang, Cavite



Performance of hybrid tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) varietles to different 635.642 R71 2015 T-6822

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy in Agriculture (Major in Crop Science)

GINA ROMILLA ROSARDA November 2015

ABSTRACT

ROSARDA, GINA R. Performance of Hybrid Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) Varieties to Different Effective Microorganism (EM) Derivative Combinations. PhD Dissertation. Doctor of Philosophy in Agriculture Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite. November 2015. Adviser: Dr. Teddy F. Tepora.

This study was conducted to determine the effect of different proportions of EM derivatives on two hybrid tomato varieties.

A 2x4 factorial design arranged in CRD was used to analyze the effect of different EM derivative combinations on two hybrid tomato varieties. Two factors were considered: variety of two hybrid tomatoes and proportion of EM derivative combinations. Treatments used include proportions of EM derivatives, fish amino acids (FAA), fermented plant juice (FPJ), fermented fruit juice (FFJ): Proportion 0 (control), Proportion 1 (70%+20%+10%), Proportion 2 (60%+30%+10%) and Proportion 3 (50%+40%+10%) with variation in application at different growth stages. Constant foliar application of oriental herbal nutrients (OHN) and water soluble calcium phosphate (Caphos) 0.15:16 li dilution was done.

Growth and yield responses of two varieties of tomato with different proportions of EM derivative combinations were evaluated in terms of plant height, number of leaves, days to flower, days to fruit initiation, days to fruit maturity, number of flowers per plant, number of clusters per plant, number of fruits, average weight and average yield per plant. Fruit quality was evaluated in terms of weights and grades. Postharvest properties of tomato were evaluated using visual quality rating based on peel color changes and percentage weight loss. Insect infestation and disease incidence were also assessed. Cost and return in tomato production with respective treatment was analyzed.

Presence of macro elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and microelements like zinc, manganese, copper and iron were traced in different EM derivatives.

Significant effects on plant height and number of leaves were observed with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 35 and 42 DAT.

Number of flower per cluster, number of days to flower, days to fruit initiation and days to fruit maturity, likewise were not affected by different proportions of EM derivative combinations. However, significant effect on the number of flower cluster per plant at 16, 31 and 40 DAF was observed.

Moderate infestation of whitefly during vegetative stage and light infestation of leaf miner were observed in two varieties of tomato. Moderate incidence of bacterial wilt and blossom end rot in tomato varieties under control were recorded.

EM derivative combinations had no significant effect on the average number of fruit, average weight, and average yield and fruit size of the two tomato varieties.

Significant effect of different EM derivative combinations on visual quality rating at 60 and 120 DAH and percentage weight loss rating at 60, 90 and 150 DAH were observed.

Application of Proportion 2 (60%+30%+10%) in Diamante max gave the highest yield and net income as revealed by the cost and return analyses for the two varieties of tomato.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	v
ABSTRACT	viii
TABLE CONTENTS.	X
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
	XVIII
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	XX
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xxiv
INTRODUCTION	1
Statement of the Problem	3
Objectives of the Study	5
Significance of the Study	6
Time and Place of the Study	7 -
Scope and Limitation of the Study	8 .,
Definition of Terms	8
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	10
Tomato Varieties	10
Nutrient Requirements of Tomato	10
Advantages of Organic Tomato Production	11
Exchangeable Potassium in the Soil	11
Cation Exchange Capacity	11

Soil Organic Matter	12
Nutrient Retention and Release	12.
Water Holding Capacity	12
Advantage of Calcium Application in Tomato	13
Role of Calcium in Tomato Production	14
Importance of Effective Microorganisms	14
Importance of Indigenous Microorganisms (IMO)	14
Effects of Microorganisms on the Plant Growth	16
Effects of Plant and Soil Nutrient Content	17
Nutrient Content of Fermented Plant Juice	17
Factors Affecting the Quality and Quantity of the Fermented Plant Juice	18
Effect of EM on Tomato Fruit Yield and Quality	18
Effects of EM on Disease Incidence and Fruit Quality	21
Effects of EM on Storage of Vegetables	22
METHODOLOGY	23
Experimental Area	22
Preparation of Potting Medium	23
Analysis of Potting Medium and EM Derivatives	23
Experimental Design and Layout	24
Preparation of Different EM Derivatives	23
Fish amino acid (FAA)	24
Fermented fruit juice (FFJ)	25
	43

Average weight of tomato	35
Average yield per plant	35
Monitoring of Insect Pest Infestation and Disease Incidence	35
Evaluation of Fruit Quality	38
Evaluation of Post-harvest Properties of Tomato	38
Visual quality	38
Average fruit weight loss	38
Statistical Analysis	38
Cost and Return Analysis	39
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	40
Nutrient Content of Effective Microorganism (EM) Derivatives	40
Effects of EM Derivative Combinations during Vegetative Growth Stage	41
Effects of EM Derivative Combinations on Plant Height Change- Over Period/ Flowering Stage	45
Effects of EM Derivative Combinations on Number of leaves at Vegetative Stage	47
Effects of EM Derivative Combinations on Number of Leaves Change -Over Period/ Flowering Stage	50
Flowering Performance	50
Number of days to flower	50
Number of days from flowering to fruit initiation	51
Number of days to fruit maturity	52
Fruiting Performance	52
Number of flowers per cluster	51

Number of flower clusters per plant	53
Yield Performance	56
Average number of fruits per plant	57
Average weight (g) of fruits per plant	57
Average yield (g) per plant	58
Common Pests	59
Whitefly	59
Leaf miner	60
Common Diseases	61
Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)	61
Bacterial wilt	63
Blossom end rot (BER)	64
Fruit Classification Based on and Weights and Sizes	65
Small	65
Medium	66
Large and extra-large	67 ⁻
Visual Quality Rating	69
Percentage Weight Loss	70
Cost of Production	72
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATION	72 79s
Summary	
Conclusion	79
Recornmendation	81
	82

Recommendation	82
REFERENCES	84
APPENDICES	
	ΩΩ

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Application rates of EM derivatives	28
2	Rate of weekly application of EM derivative combinations used for proportion 1 at different developmental stage	30
3	Rate of weekly application of EM derivative combinations used for proportion 2 at different developmental stage	31
4	Rate of weekly application of EM derivative combinations used for proportion 3 at different developmental stage	32
5	Quantity of Caphos and OHN applied to two varieties of tomato 4-12 weeks after transplanting	33
6	Degree of infestation of whitefly and leaf miner	36
7	Incidence of bacterial wilt, cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) and blossom end rot (BER)	36
8	Fruit size grading based on weight	37
9	Peel colour rating scale for tomato fruit	38
10	Nutrient content of different EM derivatives used in tomato production	41
11	Average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different EM derivative combinations at 7-63 DAT	41
12	Average number leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different EM derivative combination at 7-42 DAT	47
13	Flowering and fruiting response of two varieties of tomato applied with different EM derivative combinations	51
14	Number of flower clusters of two varieties of tomato applied with different EM derivative combinations at 16-40 DAF	53

13	with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 16-40 DAF.
16	Average fruit number, average fruit weight and average yield per plant of two varieties of tomato applied with different EM derivative combinations
17	Degree of infestation of whitefly during fruiting stage
18	Degree of infestation of leaf miner at vegetative stage
19	Incidence of Cucumber Mosaic Virus (CMV) at vegetative stage
20	Incidence of Bacterial wilt in two hybrid tomato varieties applied with different proportions EM derivative combinations
21	Incidence of Blossom end rot (BER) incidence in two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations
22	Distribution of fruits according to size
23	Visual quality rating of fruits from two varieties of tomato applied with different preparation of EM derivative combination
24	Percentage weight loss on fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different preparations of EM derivative combinations
25	Basic information and assumptions used in cost and return analyses in the production of tomato grown in container under protective structure
26	Cost and return analyses per hectare production of two hybrid tomato varieties
	THE HADING CONTRACTOR AND

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Experimental field lay-out	24
2	Average plant height (cm) of Diamante max and Atlas F1	43
3	Average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion of EM derivative combinations	43
4	Average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion of EM derivative combinations at 2 DAT	44
5	Average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion of EM derivative combinations at 49-63 DAT	46
6	Average number of leaves of Diamante max and Atlas F1	48
7	Average number of leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion of EM derivative combinations at 7-21DAT	49
8	Number of days from flowering to fruit maturity of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations.	52
9	Average weight (g) of fruits of Diamante max and Atlas F1	57
10	Average weight (g) fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations	58
11	Number of small fruits (pcs) of Diamante max and Atlas F1	66
12	Number of medium fruits (pcs) of Diamante max and Atlas F1	67
13	Number of medium size fruits (pcs) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative	

14	Number of large and extra-large fruits (pcs) of Diamante max	
	and Atlas F1	6

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table		Page
1	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 7 DAT	90
2	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 14 DAT	90
3	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 21 DAT	90
4	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 28 DAT	91
5	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 35 DAT	91
6	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 42 DAT	91
7	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 49 DAT	92
8	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 56 DAT	92

9	ANOVA for average height (cm) of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 63 DAT	
		92
10	ANOVA for average number of leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 7 DAT	93
11	ANOVA for average number of leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion s of EM derivative combinations at 14 DAT	93
12	ANOVA for average number of leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 21 DAT	93
13	ANOVA for average number of leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 28DAT	94
14	ANOVA for average number of leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion of EM derivative combinations at 35DAT	94 ·
15	ANOVA for average number of leaves of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion of EM derivative combinations at 42 DAT	94
16	ANOVA for number of days from fruiting to harvesting of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations	95
17	ANOVA for number of flower per cluster of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations 16 DAT	. 95
18	ANOVA for number of flower per cluster of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 2 1DAT.	95

19	ANOVA for number of flower per cluster of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative
20	combinations at 31DAT
21	ANOVA for number of cluster per plant of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 16 DAT
22	ANOVA for number of cluster per plant of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportion s of EM derivative combinations 24 DAT
23	ANOVA for number of cluster per plant of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations 35 DAT
24	ANOVA for number of cluster per plant of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations 42 DAT
25	ANOVA for average number of fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations
26	ANOVA for average weight of fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations.
27	ANOVA for average yield per plant of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations
28	ANOVA for small size fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations
29	ANOVA for medium size fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations

ANOVA for large size fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations	9
ANOVA for extra-large size fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations	1(
ANOVA for visual quality ratings of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 60DAH	10
ANOVA for visual quality ratings of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 120 DAH	1(
ANOVA for visual quality ratings of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 193 DAH.	10
ANOVA for percentage weight loss on fruit of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 30 DAH.	10
ANOVA for percentage weight loss on fruit of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 60 DAH.	10
ANOVA for percentage weight loss on fruit of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 90 DAH.	10
ANOVA for percentage weight loss on fruit of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 120 DAH.	10
ANOVA for percentage weight loss on fruit of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations at 150 DAH	10
	ANOVA for extra-large size fruits of two varieties of tomato applied with different proportions of EM derivative combinations

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

Appendix Figure		_
1	Certification issued by the external and internal examiners for final approval of her dissertation manuscript	Page 103
2	Soil characterization-routine chemical and physical analysis of potting medium	104
3	Nutrient analysis of fish amino acid (FAA)	105
4	Nutrient analysis of fermented plant juice (FPJ)	106
5	Nutrient analysis of fermented fruit juice (FFJ)	107
6	Nutrient analysis of water soluble calcium phosphate (Caphos)	108
7	Nutrient analysis of oriental herbal nutrients (OHN)	109
8	Preparation of potting medium	110
	Sterilization of potting media	111
	Mixing of soil media	112
9	EM derivatives applied in tomato plants	113
10	Tomato seedlings used for transplanting	114
11	Transplanting of tomato seedlings.	115
12	Tomato plants at vegetative stage	116
13	Tomato plants at flowering stage	117
14	Foliar spraying of calcium phosphate(Caphos) and oriental herbal nutrients (OHN)	118
15	Tomato plants at fruiting stage	119
16	Tomato plants at harvesting stage	120

PERFORMANCE OF HYBRID TOMATO (Solanum lycopersicum) VARIETIES TO DIFFERENT EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISM (EM) DERIVATIVE COMBINATIONS

Gina Romilla Rosarda

A PhD dissertation submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School and Open Learning College, Cavite State University, Indang, Cavite in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Agriculture, Crop Science. Prepared under the supervision of Dr. Teddy F. Tepora.

INTRODUCTION

Tomato, scientifically known as *Solunum lycopersicum*, is one of the most cultivated vegetables worldwide and is extensively grown as secondary crop especially in rice-corn based farming system in the Philippines (BAR, 2013). Tomato consumption increases because of its health benefits and the variety of uses it provides. It is the most sought vegetable in every Filipino household, hotels, restaurants, and fast food chains. To supply the needed volume of tomato, conventional method of farming has been practiced by the growers. However, the problems associated with the use of hazardous chemicals for crop protection, weed control and soil fertility are receiving outmost attention worldwide since pests, diseases and weeds become resistant to chemical pesticides, an environmental pollution which can result to ecological imbalances.

Application of organic fertilizers has been noble and traditional practice of maintaining soil health and fertility (Mehdizadeh et al., 2011).